Article Earliest known human burial in Africa

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03457-8

Received: 12 May 2020

Accepted: 16 March 2021

Published online: 5 May 2021

Check for updates

María Martinón-Torres^{1,2}, Francesco d'Errico^{3,4}, Elena Santos^{5,6}, Ana Álvaro Gallo¹, Noel Amano⁷, William Archer^{8,9,10}, Simon J. Armitage^{11,4}, Juan Luis Arsuaga^{5,12}, José María Bermúdez de Castro^{1,2}, James Blinkhorn^{7,11,13}, Alison Crowther^{7,14}, Katerina Douka^{7,15}, Stéphan Dubernet¹⁶, Patrick Faulkner^{7,17}, Pilar Fernández-Colón¹, Nikos Kourampas^{18,19}, Jorge González García²⁰, David Larreina¹, François-Xavier Le Bourdonnec¹⁶, George MacLeod¹⁹, Laura Martín-Francés^{1,2}, Diyendo Massilani²¹, Julio Mercader^{7,22}, Jennifer M. Miller⁷, Emmanuel Ndiema^{7,23}, Belén Notario¹, Africa Pitarch Martí^{3,24}, Mary E. Prendergast²⁵, Alain Queffelec³, Solange Rigaud³, Patrick Roberts^{7,14}, Mohammad Javad Shoaee⁷, Ceri Shipton^{26,27}, Ian Simpson¹⁸, Nicole Boivin^{7,14,22,28} & Michael D. Petraglia^{7,14,29,30}

The origin and evolution of hominin mortuary practices are topics of intense interest and debate¹⁻³. Human burials dated to the Middle Stone Age (MSA) are exceedingly rare in Africa and unknown in East Africa¹⁻⁶. Here we describe the partial skeleton of a roughly 2.5- to 3.0-year-old child dating to 78.3 ± 4.1 thousand years ago, which was recovered in the MSA layers of Panga ya Saidi (PYS), a cave site in the tropical upland coast of Kenya^{7,8}. Recent excavations have revealed a pit feature containing a child in a flexed position. Geochemical, granulometric and micromorphological analyses of the burial pit content and encasing archaeological layers indicate that the pit was deliberately excavated. Taphonomical evidence, such as the strict articulation or good anatomical association of the skeletal elements and histological evidence of putrefaction, support the in-place decomposition of the fresh body. The presence of little or no displacement of the unstable joints during decomposition points to an interment in a filled space (grave earth), making the PYS finding the oldest known human burial in Africa. The morphological assessment of the partial skeleton is consistent with its assignment to Homo sapiens, although the preservation of some primitive features in the dentition supports increasing evidence for non-gradual assembly of modern traits during the emergence of our species. The PYS burial sheds light on how MSA populations interacted with the dead.

Increasing scrutiny is being placed on the origin and biological and cultural evolution of our species in Africa¹⁻³. Mortuary practices are an important component of our evolution⁴⁻⁶. Formal burials, defined as the interment of a dead body in an excavated grave, may have been preceded by more elusive practices in the genus *Homo*. Testing this scenario is made difficult, particularly in Africa, by the scarcity of sites with clear and well-dated evidence for the treatment of dead bodies.

PYS has emerged as one of the key MSA and Later Stone Age (LSA) sites in Africa, given its excellent preservation of environmental proxies⁷⁸, its distinctive sequence of technological innovations and symbolic traits^{8,9}, and its preservation of biomolecular information^{10,11}. The excavated cave sequence is about 3 m deep and encompasses 19 layers (Fig. 1). A series of stratigraphically ordered radiocarbon and luminescence ages, when included in a Bayesian model, indicate human occupation from about 78 thousand years ago (ka) to 500 years ago⁸, representing most of the last five marine isotope stages.

The 2013 excavations at PYS revealed a partial pit feature in profile, markedly contrasting with the gradual colour change that characterizes the entire sequence and presenting a distinct texture and colour in comparison to the surrounding matrix (Fig. 1, Supplementary Information A). A luminescence tube (OSL4) and a micromorphology sample (PYS 13_1) were placed in the feature. The micromorphology sample revealed the presence of heavily degraded bones. Excavations were expanded in 2017 to expose the top of the feature, which was positioned at the bottom of layer 18. The plan view of the pit was subcircular, measuring 36.7 cm (north–south) by 39.8 cm (west–east) by 12.5 cm (depth). Limited excavation at the top of the pit indicated that the feature contained a concentration of fragile and degraded bones in association with MSA lithic artefacts (Extended Data Fig. 1, Supplementary Information B), embedded in a matrix that differed from the surrounding sediments of layer 19. The excavation surface indicated the presence of decomposed bones that were later shown to be the base of a skull and the articulated spine of a child.

Several small fragments of bone were exposed during the 2017 excavation but because they were poorly preserved, it was decided to plaster the whole feature and transport it for careful laboratory excavation. The plastered remains were first taken to the National Museums of Kenya (NMK), and then to the Conservation and Restoration laboratories at CENIEH in Burgos, Spain for mechanical and digital cleaning (Supplementary Information A).

Careful examination revealed the articulated partial skeleton of an immature human (Fig. 2). Sample OSL4, taken directly from the



Fig. 1 | **Location of PYS and stratigraphic context of burial. a**, PYS is located in the uplands of Kenya's coastal plain. Right, the 19 stratigraphic layers with the burial pit at the bottom of MSA layer 18 and in layer 19. Detailed inset of burial pit shows piece-plotted objects, including MSA lithics and fauna and three associated OSL dates in layers 17–19. The Bayesian age is 78.3±4.1 ka. **b**, Plan view of the 2017 excavation. The black line delimits the pit feature and

the change in texture from the surrounding matrix. The faint white outline of the decomposed skull, spine, femur and other severely degraded bones can be observed on the surface of the pit. **c**, Same view with the superimposition of the virtual reconstruction of the CT and surface scans of Mtoto. The preserved parts (solid) are superimposed over a semi-transparent comparative skeleton to better depict the position of the child.

feature in 2013, was processed at Royal Holloway, London, resulting in a stratigraphically coherent age of 76.0 \pm 7.4 ka (luminescence ages are presented at the 68.2% confidence interval throughout). Incorporation of this age into the Bayesian model yielded an estimated age of 78.3 \pm 4.1 ka for the pit infilling (Extended Data Fig. 2, Supplementary Information C). Excavation of the sediment block that encased the skeletal elements revealed the presence of lithics and fauna (Supplementary Information B, Extended Data Fig. 1, Extended Data Table 1) that were consistent with the surrounding MSA layers. Layers 17–19 produced a large MSA lithic assemblage (n = 2,180) that was distinct from the LSA layers above⁸ and consistent with other MSA assemblages in eastern Africa¹². Screening of the sediment and skeletal elements for ancient DNA proved inconclusive (Supplementary Information D).

Primary and intentional deposit

The hominin remains consist of a considerable part of the basic ranium, a fragment of the left hemi-mandible with a complete ramus, five teeth (right M_1 , right M^1 , left dm^2 and the in situ and unerupted left M_1 and left M^1), the cervical and thoracic spine with associated ribs, the right clavicle, and the left humerus (Figs. 2, 3). In addition, there were several fragments that corresponded to the cranial, facial, pectoral, pelvic and limb areas, although anatomical identification is difficult owing to postdepositional alteration (bioerosion and recrystallization) of the bones (Extended Data Table 1, Supplementary Information E). Fragments of the left radius and ulna (in anatomical connection through a lump of sediment) and a deformed fragment of the left parietal bone were also recovered, although detached from the main block. Several unidentifiable bone fragments that were recovered in the field, before the feature was plastered, probably correspond to the crushed and severely distorted upper part of the cranial vault. The advanced postdepositional alteration of the bones prevented the preservation and/ or physical recovery of the remaining skeletal elements. Photographs taken after micromorphology sampling in 2013 show the proximal portion of the right femora inside the section (Supplementary Fig. A2) and photographs of the plan view in 2017 show the proximal end of the left femur (Fig. 1b). On the basis of dental development, we estimate that the child, who we named 'Mtoto' ('child' in Swahili), died at the age of 2.5–3.0 years (Supplementary Information F).

Four features¹³ demonstrate that a fresh body was placed in a location where the entire process of decomposition took place: (a) the



Fig. 2 | **PYS human fossil. a**, External view of the PYS main block, where the flexed spine with articulated vertebrae and ribs, as well as some teeth, are partially exposed on the surface. The photograph was taken after the initial cleaning and removal of three right thoracic ribs, which revealed how the first and second ribs descended and rotated into the thoracic cavity while preserving the intercostal space. This argues in favour of minimal displacement of this part of the body as a unit, given the sternal articulation of

macroscopic anatomical integrity of the body, especially unstable articulations; (b) the minimal displacement of bones, with movements explained as a consequence of decomposition; (c) the abundance of terrestrial gastropods that feed on earthworms in close proximity to the corpse; and (d) geochemical and histological analyses that indicate in situ decomposition and putrefaction processes. The PYS child remains meet all four of these criteria.

The majority of the bones appear in either strict articulation or good anatomical association and minor displacements can be explained as a consequence of decomposition and the subsequent formation of secondary spaces. The combination of the photographic, surface scanner and microtomographic data, together with the total station coordinates of the pit feature, confirm that the body was deposited in a flexed right lateral decubitus position with the thighs flexed towards the torso at an angle of less than 90° (Fig. 3, Extended Data Fig. 3). The vertebral column forms an arc that stretches from the cervical to the distal thoracic area. This, together with the relative position of the lower limbs, denotes a tightly flexed position of the body. The body is not lying flat, but the spine is at an angle of approximately 12° above the horizontal axis. The thorax is laterally compressed. The ribs on the right side are flattened and those on the left side are at a higher angulation. There is a gap between the anterior ends of the right and the left ribs from the same vertebral level, consistent with the interpretation that the child's body was originally lying on its right side. Although the mechanical pressure of the sediment flattened the thorax, the rib cage did not collapse; this preserved the original spatial relationship and curvature of the ribs and points to to decomposition in a filled space. The preservation of most of the thorax articulations and thorax volume indicates that the destruction of the soft tissues and viscera did not produce a large, temporary empty space. This phenomenon tends to occur in contexts characterized by particularly fluid sediments that infiltrate by percolation, and it is indirect but solid evidence of a deposit made in bare earth¹³. The particle size analysis confirms that the sediment inside the burial presents a higher proportion of both silt and sand and a lower proportion of clay in comparison to layers 17-19 (except for two samples from top of layer 18) (Extended Data Fig. 4, Supplementary Information G). This would favour progressive infilling of the internal space as the cadaver decomposed and reinforces the hypothesis that the cadaver decomposed in situ.

The right clavicle is oriented obliquely, with the sternal extremity descending almost 90°. Similarly, the first and second right ribs are also distally displaced, and rotated medially by about 90°, but they preserve the intercostal space, arguing in favour of minimal displacement of

the pectoral girdle. **b**, External view of the left side of Mtoto's skull and left hemimandible, showing the strict and intact temporo-mandibular articulation. The photograph was taken after the skull had been cleaned and separated from the postcranial elements. The unerupted M_1 and M^1 are held in place despite the fact that their roots were not developed, supporting the interpretation of an undisturbed deposit. The first three cervical vertebrae are rotated but in place, and connected to the foramen magnum.

the sternal articulation of the pectoral girdle. The depression of the clavicle and the adoption of an oblique orientation are typical of tightly shrouded burial¹⁴. This is consistent with the upper part of the body being wrapped in a perishable cloth or material, or the body being densely packed within its pit structure. In either case, such a deliberate treatment of the body would explain the exceptional in-place preservation of the scapula and humerus of the overhanging arm, and the intact articulation of the vertebral column and ribs, which would otherwise have been likely to collapse as the decomposition advanced¹³.

Rotation of the head is common in burials as the result of gravity and decay, where the weight of the cranium shifts away from the cranio-vertebral attachments, placing it in an unstable position. For Mtoto, the cranium and the first three cervical vertebrae are disarticulated as a unit and partially dislocated from the column. The movement of the head points to the existence of some empty space around it and contrasts with the progressive infilling and minimal displacement of the rest of the body. In a fresh cadaver, this type of head dislocation involving the cervical vertebrae could indicate collapse due to the decay of a perishable support placed beneath the head^{15,16}. Mtoto's head dislocation, together with the depression of the clavicle and the first two ribs, is consistent with the upper part of the body being wrapped and the head supported with a perishable material. The differential preservation of the upper versus the lower part of the body could be additional evidence for this protective treatment. This evidence supports the idea that there was more elaborated involvement of the community in the funerary rite, rather than structured abandonment of a corpse⁵ or a happenstance burial¹⁷.

The anatomical integrity and strict articulation of some unstable articulations indicate a primary and undisturbed deposit^{13,14} and that the child was covered with sediment rapidly after its placement. Taphonomical, histological and geochemical analyses support in situ decomposition and putrefaction. The anatomical alignment and advanced diagenesis of Mtoto contrasts with the highly fragmented status and more variable diagenetic conditions of faunal remains from layers 17–19 (Extended Data Table 1, Supplementary Information H). All available evidence argues in favour of rapid burial after death, protecting the skeleton from the intense post-depositional breakage that was experienced by the faunal remains in the surrounding layers.

Optical microscopy of Mtoto's upper limb bone fragments demonstrates that human and non-human bone followed different taphonomic trajectories. The most parsimonious interpretation of the bioerosion, recystallization and Fe oxide deposition pattern on the human bone, and of the micromorphological characteristics of the





Fig. 3 | **Mtoto's preservation and position in the pit. a**, Preserved parts of the PYS skeleton. **b**, **c**, Front (**b**) and top (**c**) views of the virtual reconstruction of the preserved skeletal parts (solid) superimposed over a transparent comparative skeleton. The fragments of both the left and right femora could not be

burial sediment matrix, is that the child's body decomposed as a buried fleshed cadaver, in an episodically waterlogged burial environment (Supplementary Information E). The skull presents several star-shaped marks and bore holes that are indicative of insect and gastropod activity. compatible with in situ decomposition¹⁸ (Supplementary Fig. H2).

Higher concentrations of MnO and CaO in the pit fill are also consistent with in situ decomposition of the body mediated by putrefactive bacteria^{19,20} (Supplementary Information E, Extended Data Figs. 5, 6, Extended Data Table 2).

The sediment matrix is devoid of microcharcoal, ash or other microscopic (putative) human inputs. Five land snail shell fragments (*Achatina* sp.) were found in close association with the skeleton, around the occipital area. One of these shell fragments bear lines incised by a point, not seen in fragments from the encasing layers (Extended Data Fig. 7, Supplementary Information I). Fragments of *Achatina* species, however, are abundant in penecontemporaneous layer 18 and bear traces of heating and consumption. Thus, the evidence is not sufficient to suggest deliberate placement of shell fragments in the pit. Nevertheless, the *Achatina* shells from the pit are significantly larger than those from penecontemporaneous layer 18, indicating that they did not experience intensive breakage from processes such as trampling (Supplementary Information I). Analysis of reddish agglomerates spotted during the excavation of the child's remains showed that they were not anthropogenic in origin.

Burial versus funerary caching

In addition to the primary placement of the body, the recognition of a burial requires the identification of a purposedly excavated pit followed

recovered, but their outline was identified on the plan view and in the wall profile, marked as a solid line over the transparent skeleton. The position of the right radius and ulna fragments and the right parietal bone are approximate, as they were found detached from the original block.

by intentional covering of the corpse⁵. The distinction of a new stratum is key to distinguishing a burial from the accommodation of a body in natural places such as cave fissures or hollows, a practice known as funerary caching^{5,21}. The PYS stratigraphy provides evidence in favour of an intentional burial. The excavation of trench 4 exposed a distinct feature-a demarcated pit with sediment matrix that differed in colour and texture from the remainder of the sequence, and which can have resulted only from intentional digging into layer 19. The burial fill is a mix of ferruginous silt and sand, compositionally similar to the top of layer 18 and the base of layer 17, and different from layer 19, in which the pit was excavated (Extended Data Fig. 4, Supplementary Information G). The fine-grained texture of the intraskeletal matrix may be representative of the original composition of the burying sediment, or it may have resulted from infiltration of the sediment between the bones as the cadaver skeletonized (Supplementary Information E, Extended Data Figs. 5, 6, Extended Data Table 2). This is compatible with the evidence for progressive infilling in a deposit made in bare earth. The lack of diagnostic features of flood and/or mass flow in the burying sediment makes it unlikely that the sediment was washed into the pit during a flood event shortly after the deposition of the corpse. The most parsimonious interpretation is that Mtoto's body was deliberately covered using backfill sediment scooped from the colluvial deposits that made up the layer 18 cave floor.

In sum, the interpretation that Mtoto was intentionally buried^{5,17} is based on: (a) the identification of a clear pit feature dug into layer 19; (b) the geochemical and granulometric evidence that discriminates the burial fill from the surrounding layers, suggesting that sediment gradually filtered into empty spaces created by the decomposition of the body and insect activity; (c) the overall completeness and anatomical integrity of the skeleton and the alignment of the body in a tightly flexed position in the pit, consistent with rapid covering after the body's deposition; and (d) the notable difference between the unique depositionary and taphonomic history of the child's remains and that of the faunal assemblage from the same layers.

Taxonomic assessment

We compared Mtoto's teeth against a large dental sample representative of Homo neanderthalensis and recent and fossil H. sapiens. Several crenulations and mesial accessory tubercles make the PYS dm² more primitive than recent counterparts (Extended Data Fig. 8, Supplementary Information F). The PYS M¹ samples fall within the range of variation of *H. sapiens*, although they resemble the morphologically more complex Aterian individuals in their pronounced Carabelli expression and the exceptionally large and subdivided hypocones²². In both the dm² and the M¹, the occlusal polygon is more rhomboidal than in fossil and recent H. sapiens, but not as skewed as in Neanderthals. The size cusp sequence of the M¹ falls between recent and Upper Palaeolithic H. sapiens on the one hand, and Neanderthals and Qafzeh on the other. Although the M_1 morphology is compatible with that of *H*. sapiens, the profuse crenulation of their enamel makes these more complex than those of recent modern humans and some fossil H. sapiens from Africa, the Levant, Europe and East Asia^{23,24}. The size cusp sequences in the M₁s are intermediate between that found in early H. sapiens specimens and that found in Neanderthals and recent H. sapiens²⁵. The shape analysis of the occlusal outline of the enamel-dentine junction (EDJ) reveals that all PYS teeth cluster with modern humans except for M₁, which is closer to Neanderthals (Extended Data Fig. 8). The dimensions of Mtoto's teeth fall within the range of variation of recent modern humans. The enamel of both deciduous and permanent molars of PYS is thick-a primitive condition shared with H. sapiens and the majority of speciments in the hominin fossil record except for Neanderthals (Supplementary Fig. F1).

Mtoto's dentition is consistent with an assignment to *H. sapiens*, although it preserves some primitive features indicating that the child was morphologically less derived than other broadly contemporaneous populations. The mandibular ramus shows a symmetric mandibular notch, where the condylar and coronoid processes are levelled. This, together with the strongly arched temporal squama, aligns Mtoto with modern humans.

Implications for human cultural evolution

Despite Africa's alleged centrality for the emergence of 'modern human behaviour' in the late Middle Pleistocene^{1,26}, early evidence for mortuary practices in the continent is scarce. Until now, the two earliest possible burials in Africa were at Taramsa, Egypt, and Border Cave, South Africa. At Taramsa, a skeleton of a child, dated to 68.6 ± 8 ka, was recovered in a pit similar to nearby MSA chert extraction pits²⁷⁻²⁹. As the pits relate to chert mining, Taramsa is interpreted as a late example of a long-lasting caching tradition in H. sapiens5. The infant hominin (BC3) found in 1941 at Border Cave, thought to date to approximately $74 \pm 4 \text{ ka}^{30}$, was apparently associated with a single perforated and ochred Conus shell. Although recent reappraisal of the evidence confirms the presence of a pit, documentation on this burial is unfortunately limited. No information is available on the degree of articulation or the position of the remains^{31,32} within the pit, and its age is inferred from a stratigraphic correlation with an electron spin resonance-dated section located more than 10 m from the pit. Although the chronological and stratigraphic data for BC3 are consistent with an age not younger than 58 ka³⁰, and possibly as old as 74 ka, a more constrained chronology for the skeleton is not available.

The contextual, chronological and taphonomic information available at PYS are supportive of a primary burial and meet the criteria for simple, early inhumations of hominins in the Late Pleistocene⁵. On the basis of multiple, stratigraphically coherent OSL dates, PYS represents the earliest known evidence of an intentional burial in Africa at 78.3 \pm 4.1 ka, clearly demonstrating that complex treatment of the dead was practiced by *H. sapiens* by late marine isotope stage 5. The PYS burial reveals a clear and direct association between *H. sapiens* and MSA technology. This association is relevant in the light of current scenarios for the emergence of our species^{2,3}, which emphasize the joint role of different African populations in this process and the possibility of regional asynchronicity in the emergence of key modern anatomical and cultural traits.

The PYS child, in combination with the infant burial from Border Cave and the funerary caching of a juvenile at Taramsa⁵, suggests that *H. sapiens* populations were intentionally preserving the corpses of young members of their groups between about 78 and 69 ka. Before 78 ka, we know of no unambiguous burials of modern humans in Africa, despite the fact that earlier MSA populations demonstrate sophisticated forms of symbolic expression^{33–36}. Before that, intentional defleshing has been inferred for the 600,000 year-old Bodo cranium³⁷, and the juvenile specimen from Herto³⁸. Funerary caching has been proposed for the Atapuerca-Sima de los Huesos hominins (Spain)^{4,5} and *H. naledi* (South Africa)³⁹.

The African evidence provides a point of contrast with Neanderthals and early modern human mortuary behaviours in Eurasia. Neanderthals and modern humans commonly buried their dead in residential sites from at least approximately 120 ka⁴⁰. Infant and child burials are ubiquitous in Neanderthal and early modern human sites in the Levant and Europe, comprising 55-35% of all known Middle Palaeolithic and MSA interments after 120 ka⁴⁰. Burial in residential localities, such as at PYS, has been suggested to reflect mourning behaviour and the intention to keep the dead nearby⁴⁰. Despite being the cradle of H. sapiens⁴¹, Africa demonstrates a scarcity of mortuary practices over most of the MSA that provides little current support for modern-like conceptions of the afterlife and/or treatment of the dead. Nonetheless, cross-cultural evidence in H. sapiens clearly emphasizes that the absence of a behaviour does not necessarily imply that the capacity for such behaviour was lacking. Evidence for advanced planning and symbolism is present by around 320 ka, and particularly after 100 ka in eastern Africa and southern Africa⁴²⁻⁴⁴. The absence of burials from the onset of the MSA by around 320 ka⁴⁵ and the rare occurrence of burials after 78 ka may be due to a variety of factors, including cultural practices that leave elusive archaeological traces or a shift, sometime between 150 ka and 80 ka, from defleshing and curation³⁸ to funerary caching and burials, observed at more recent sites in eastern Africa. The PYS burial shows that inhumation of the dead is a practice shared by populations living in and out of Africa during the last interglacial period.

The approximately 78.3-ka-old PYS skeletal remains are of interest for the insight they provide about the evolution of our species in Africa. Although the mandibular and dental assessment of Mtoto are consistent with its assignment to *H. sapiens*, the preservation of some primitive dental features in comparison to other penecontemporaneous populations suggests that our species may have evolved in subdivided and regionally distinct populations and in a variety of palaeoecological settings (Supplementary Information J). Our study reaffirms the suggestion that the biological and socio-cultural evolution of *H. sapiens* was a complex and regionally diverse process.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03457-8.

- Mcbrearty, S. & Brooks, A. S. The revolution that wasn't: a new interpretation of the origin of modern human behavior. J. Hum. Evol. 39, 453–563 (2000).
- Mounier, A. & Mirazón Lahr, M. Deciphering African late middle Pleistocene hominin diversity and the origin of our species. Nat. Commun. 10, 3406 (2019).
- Scerri, E. M. L. et al. Did our species evolve in subdivided populations across Africa, and why does it matter? Trends Ecol. Evol. 33, 582–594 (2018).
- Carbonell, E. & Mosquera, M. The emergence of a symbolic behaviour: the sepulchral pit of Sima de los Huesos, Sierra de Atapuerca, Burgos, Spain. C. R. Palevol 5, 155–160 (2006).
- 5. Pettitt, P. The Palaeolithic Origins of Human Burial (Routledge, 2011).
- Zilhão, J. in Death Rituals and Social Order in the Ancient World: Death Shall Have No Dominion (eds Renfrew, C. et al.) 27-44 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016).
- Roberts, P. et al. Late Pleistocene to Holocene human palaeoecology in the tropical environments of coastal eastern Africa. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 537, 109438 (2020).
- Shipton, C. et al. 78,000-year-old record of Middle and Later stone age innovation in an East African tropical forest. Nat. Commun. 9, 1832 (2018).
- d'Errico, F. et al. Trajectories of cultural innovation from the Middle to Later Stone Age in Eastern Africa: personal ornaments, bone artifacts, and ocher from Panga ya Saidi, Kenya. J. Hum. Evol. 141, 102737 (2020).
- Prendergast, M. E. et al. Reconstructing Asian faunal introductions to eastern Africa from multi-proxy biomolecular and archaeological datasets. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0182565 (2017).
- Skoglund, P. et al. Reconstructing prehistoric African population structure. Cell 171, 59–71.e21 (2017).
- 12. Grove, M. & Blinkhorn, J. Neural networks differentiate between Middle and Later Stone Age lithic assemblages in eastern Africa. *PLoS ONE* **15**, e0237528 (2020).
- Duday, A. in Social Archaeology of Funerary Remains (eds Gowland, R. L. & Knüsel, C. J.) 30–56 (Oxbow Books, 2006).
- 14. Knüsel, C. J. Crouching in fear: terms of engagement for funerary remains. J. Soc. Archaeol. 14, 26–58 (2014).
- Blaizot, F. Les Espaces Funéraires de l'Habitat Groupé des Ruelles à Serris du VIIe au XIe Siècles Seine et Marne, Île-de-France: Taphonomie du Squelette, Modes d'Inhumation, Organisation et Dynamique (Univ. Bordeaux, 2011).
- Kapandii, I. A. Physiologie Articulaire: Schémas Comment Mécanique Humaine Vol. 3 (Maloine, 1972).
- 17. Defleur, A. Les Sépultures Moustériennes (Editions de CNRS, 1993).
- Backwell, L., Huchet, J.-B., Jashashvili, T., Dirks, P. H. G. M. & Berger, L. R. Termites and necrophagous insects associated with early Pleistocene (Gelasian) Australopithecus sediba at Malapa, South Africa. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 560, 109989 (2020).
- Ghiorse, W. C. in Manganese in Soils and Plants (eds Graham, R. D. et al.) 75–85 (Springer, 1988).
- Thompson, I. A., Huber, D. M., Guest, C. A. & Schulze, D. G. Fungal manganese oxidation in a reduced soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1480–1487 (2005).
- Gargett, R. H. Middle Palaeolithic burial is not a dead issue: the view from Qafzeh, Saint-Césaire, Kebara, Amud, and Dederiyeh. J. Hum. Evol. 37, 27–90 (1999).
- Bailey, S. E. & Hublin, J.-J. in Manderthals Revisited: New Approaches and Perspectives (eds Hublin, J.-J. et al.) 191–209 (Springer, 2006).
- Grine, F. E. Middle Stone Age human fossils from Die Kelders Cave 1, Western Cape Province, South Africa. J. Hum. Evol. 38, 129–145 (2000).
- Liu, W. et al. The earliest unequivocally modern humans in southern China. Nature 526, 696–699 (2015).
- Martín-Albaladejo, M., Martinón-Torres, M., García-González, R., Arsuaga, J. L. & Bermúdez de Castro, J. M. Morphometric analysis of Atapuerca-Sima de los Huesos lower first molars. *Quat. Int.* 433, 156–162 (2017).
- Henshilwood, C. S. & Marean, C. W. The origin of modern human behavior: critique of the models and their test implications. *Curr. Anthropol.* 44, 627–651 (2003).
- Vermeersch, P. M., Paulissen, E., Gijselings, G. & Janssen, J. Middle Palaeolithic chert exploitation pits near Qena (Upper Egypt). *Paéorient* 12, 61–65 (1986).
- Van Peer, P., Vermeersch, P. M. & Paulissen, E. Chert Quarrying, Lithic Technology and Human Burial at the Palaeolithic Site of Taramsa 1, Upper Egypt Vol. 5 (Leuven Univ. Press, 2010).
- Vermeersch, P. M. et al. A Middle Palaeolithic burial of a modern human at Taramsa Hill, Egypt. Antiquity 72, 475–484 (1998).
- d'Errico, F. & Backwell, L. Earliest evidence of personal ornaments associated with burial: the Conus shells from Border Cave. J. Hum. Evol. 93, 91–108 (2016).
- Beaumont, P. B., de Villiers, H. & Vogel, J. C. Modern man in sub-Saharan Africa prior to 49000 years BP: a review and evaluation with particular reference to Border Cave. S. Afr. J. Sci. **74**, 409–419 (1978).
- Cooke, H. B. S., Malan, B. D. & Wells, L. H. Fossil man in the Lebombo Mountains, South Africa: the 'Border Cave,' Ingwavuma District, Zululand. Man 45, 6–13 (1945).
- d'Errico, F. et al. Additional evidence on the use of personal ornaments in the Middle Paleolithic of North Africa. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 16051–16056 (2009).

- Henshilwood, C. S., d'Errico, F. & Watts, I. Engraved ochres from the Middle Stone Age levels at Blombos Cave, South Africa. J. Hum. Evol. 57, 27–47 (2009).
- Henshilwood, C. S. et al. An abstract drawing from the 73,000-year-old levels at Blombos Cave, South Africa. Nature 562, 115–118 (2018).
- Steele, T. E., Alvarez-Fernandez, E. & Hallet-Desguez, E. A review of shells as personal ornamentation during the African Middle Stone Age. *Paleoanthropology* 24, 24–51 (2019).
- White, T. D. Cut marks on the Bodo cranium: a case of prehistoric defleshing. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 69, 503–509 (1986).
- Clark, J. D. et al. Stratigraphic, chronological and behavioural contexts of Pleistocene Homo sapiens from Middle Awash, Ethiopia. Nature 423, 747–752 (2003).
- Dirks, P. H. G. M. et al. Geological and taphonomic evidence for deliberate body disposal by the primitive hominin species *Homo naledi* from the Dinaledi Chamber. *eLife* 4, e09561 (2015).
- Stiner, M. C. Love and death in the Stone Age: what constitutes first evidence of mortuary treatment of the human body? *Biol. Theory* 12, 248–261 (2017).
- Scerri, E. M. L., Chikhi, L. & Thomas, M. G. Beyond multiregional and simple out-of-Africa models of human evolution. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 1370–1372 (2019).
- Brooks, A. S. et al. Long-distance stone transport and pigment use in the earliest Middle Stone Age. Science 360, 90–94 (2018).
- Henshilwood, C. S. et al. A 100,000-year-old ochre-processing workshop at Blombos Cave. South Africa. Science 334, 219–222 (2011).
- Wadley, L. in The Oxford Handbook of African Archaeology (eds Mitchell, P. & Lane, P.) 15 (Oxford Univ. Press, 2020).
- Richter, D. et al. The age of the hominin fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco, and the origins of the Middle Stone Age. Nature 546, 293–296 (2017).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2021

¹CENIEH (National Research Center on Human Evolution), Burgos, Spain. ²Anthropology Department, University College London, London, UK. ³UMR 5199 CNRS De la Préhistoire à l'Actuel: Culture, Environnement, et Anthropologie (PACEA), Université Bordeaux, Talence, France. ⁴SFF Centre for Early Sapiens Behaviour (SapienCE), University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ⁵Centro Mixto UCM-ISCIII de Evolución y Comportamiento Humanos, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain. 6Cátedra de Otoacústica Evolutiva y Paleoantropología (HM Hospitales - Universidad de Alcalá), Departamento de Ciencias de la Vida, Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain. 7Department of Archaeology, Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, Jena, Germany. 8Department of Archaeology and Anthropology, National Museum, Bloemfontein, South Africa. 9Department of Archaeology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹⁰Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany, ¹¹Department of Geography, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, UK. ¹²Departamento de Paleontología, Facultad de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. ¹³Pan-African Evolution Research Group, Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, Jena, Germany. ¹⁴School of Social Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, ¹⁵Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. 16 UMR 5060 CNRS-Université Bordeaux Montaigne IRAMAT-CRP2A: Institut de recherche sur les Archéomatériaux - Centre de recherche en physique appliquée à l'archéologie, Maison de l'archéologie, Pessac, France. ¹⁷Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, Department of Archaeology, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. ¹⁸Centre for Open Learning, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹⁹Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK. 203D Applications Engineer and Heritage Specialist Digital Heritage and Humanities Collections, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA. ²¹Department of Evolutionary Genetics, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany. ²²Department of Anthropology and Archaeology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. 23 National Museums of Kenya, Department of Earth Sciences, Nairobi, Kenya. ²⁴Seminari d'Estudis i Recergues Prehistòriques (SERP), Facultat de Geografia i Història, Departament d'Història i Arqueologia, Universitat de Barcelona Barcelona, Spain. ²⁵Department of Anthropology, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA. ²⁶Institute of Archaeology, University College London, London, UK. 27 Centre of Excellence for Australian Biodiversity and Heritage, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia. ²⁸Department of Anthropology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA, ²⁹Human Origins Program, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA. ³⁰Australian Research Centre for Human Evolution (ARCHE), Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. [™]e-mail: maria.martinon@cenieh.es; boivin@shh.mpg.de; petraglia@shh.mpg.de

Methods

Luminescence dating

A suite of seven quartz single-grain OSL ages for PYS were previously published, including a detailed description of the luminescence measurement and data analysis techniques used (supplementary note 3 in Shipton et al.⁸). These data were stratigraphically consistent, and were combined with a number of radiocarbon ages to construct an age model using Bayesian software (OxCal 4.4.2). The resulting chronology was internally consistent, but analysis of the single-grain data from samples located towards the base of the sedimentary sequence indicated that a high proportion of the grains were close to 'saturation'; that is, they had received a natural radiation dose that was sufficiently close to the saturation dose to preclude the calculation of an accurate equivalent does (D_e) value. Both the method used to identify saturated quartz grains⁴⁶, and the presence of a high proportion of such grains⁴⁷, have the potential to cause the age to be underestimated. Consequently, in the present study, single grains of potassium feldspars (K-feldspar) from five samples from PYS were measured using a post-infrared, infrared-stimulated luminescence (pIRIR) measurement protocol⁴⁸. These measurements were performed on the two deepest (oldest) previously published samples (PYS13-OSL3 and OSL5), a new sample from the burial (PYS13-OSL4), and two younger samples (PYS13-OSL11 and OSL17). As K-feldspar is known to saturate at much higher doses than quartz, this approach allows the accuracy of the older published ages to be tested. Measurement and data analysis procedures are described in Supplementary Information C.

The K-feldspar measurements, combined with reanalysis of the guartz data, indicate that the published OSL ages⁸ for layers 17 and 18 are underestimates, but those for younger layers are accurate. Ages deemed to be accurate were incorporated into a new age model using Bayesian software (OxCal 4.4.2). The K-feldspar age for the PYS13-OSL4, the sample within the burial, is consistent with those for the surrounding sediments (PYS13-OSL3 and OSL5). If the burial pit had been dug into much older sediments and then infilled using those sediments, some grains would probably have had their luminescence signal reset during the process. This might be expected to yield a younger age for the burial infill than the surrounding sediments, which is not the case for the K-feldspar ages. The quartz ages for these samples, although believed to be underestimates, are also indistinguishable. Also, the overdispersion of the quartz data are similar for samples PYS13-OSL3-5. This suggests that the burial occurred sufficiently shortly after deposition of layers 17 and 18 that bleaching of grains during the excavation and infilling processes did not increase the scatter of equivalent doses. The similarity in K-feldspar ages and quartz overdispersion between samples PYS13-OSL3-5 does not unequivocally demonstrate that the burial infill is contemporaneous with layers 17 and 18, because if the pit was backfilled with spoil this might have occurred under subdued light conditions, leading to poor luminescence signal resetting. Also, given the approximately 7-10% uncertainties on individual K-feldspar ages, archaeologically important differences in age could go unnoticed. Nonetheless, the absence of a discrepancy between the ages and overdispersion of the burial infill and surrounding sediments suggests that the burial is contemporaneous with layers 17 and 18, at least at the temporal resolution achievable using luminescence techniques.

Bayesian modelling and age of the PYS burial

Bayesian modelling enables the relative stratigraphic information recorded during excavation to be formally incorporated into posterior age estimates derived from chronometric data expressed as probability distributions (in this case, calibrated radiocarbon and luminescence probability distributions) and 'prior' information (that is, observations on the data we collect). In archaeology, this is often the stratigraphic and other relative information. Hence 'posterior' data is a probability function that reflects the level of confidence associated with the values of the unknown parameters, in this case chronometric measurements, after the observation of the prior information. Details about the data and structure of the comprehensive model for the dating of the PYS sequence have previously been described⁷.

Here we updated the model, using recalculated OSL ages for layers 9–15, replacing OSL ages for layers 17 and 18 with new pIRIR ages, and adding the new pIRIR age obtained for the burial infill (OSL4). The standard errors associated with the luminescence ages include both random and systematic uncertainties. This updated model was run using OxCal 4.4.2⁴⁹, and the code is shown in Supplementary Information C. By inserting a 'Date' command into the OxCal structure we allow the model to find the best fit for the age of the burial on the basis of all luminescence ages obtained for relevant layers 17 and 18 (Supplementary Table C4). Because of uncertainties in the bleaching history of sediment grains deriving from disturbed contexts, such as a burial, we do not tie the 'Date' command to OSL4; instead, we treat the burial infill sediment as another independent age for layer 18.

Given that layers 17 and 18 are statistically indistinguishable from each other and from OSL4, we may assume that both layers are quasi-contemporaneous, at least at the precision offered by the luminescence methods, for the studied period. The new model is shown in Extended Data Fig. 2.

Screening for ancient DNA

DNA extraction and library preparation. We screened eight undiagnosed skeletal fragments and twelve sediment samples associated with the PYS burial pit for ancient DNA (Supplementary Table D1). DNA was extracted from about 50 mg of bone or sediment using a silica-based method developed for the retrieval of short DNA molecules⁵⁰ on an automated liquid handling platform⁵¹. Fifteen per cent of each extract was converted into a single-stranded DNA library⁵², and barcoded with a pair of unique indices⁵³, following the modifications described in Korlević et al.⁵⁴. The number of DNA molecules incorporated into each library was assessed by quantitative PCR as previously described⁵⁵. Extraction and library negative controls were carried through all steps of the experiments. Libraries were pooled and shotgun sequenced on a HiSeq platform (Illumina).

Mitochondrial capture and sequencing. One microgram of each amplified DNA library was enriched either for mammalian mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) using a probe set of 242 taxa⁵⁶ or for human mtDNA with a probe set covering the full human mitochondrial genome^{57,58}. The enriched libraries were pooled in two sets according to the capture probe used and sequenced on a MiSeq platform (Illumina).

Sequence processing and mapping. The reads obtained from the sequencing of the mtDNA-enriched libraries were trimmed to remove adaptor sequences, and overlapping paired-end reads were merged using leeHom⁵⁹. Sequences from the mammalian mtDNA-enriched libraries were aligned to a non-redundant database of 796 mammalian mitochondrial genomes from the NCBI Reference Sequence database (RefSeq) using nucleotide BLAST (BlastN)⁶⁰ with default parameters. Sequences were then assigned to different taxa using MEGAN⁶¹ and each ancient taxon was deemed present in the dataset if it met previously published criteria⁶². The sequences from the human mtDNA-enriched libraries were aligned to the revised Cambridge Reference mitochondrial genome (rCRS, NC_0120920) using the Burrows-Wheeler aligner⁶³ with optimized parameters for ancient DNA «-n 0.01 -o 2 -l 16500»⁶⁴. Aligned sequences shorter than 35 bases with a mapping quality lower than 25 were filtered out. PCR duplicates were removed by merging sequences with identical alignment start and end coordinates using bam-rmdup (https://github.com/mpieva/ biohazard-tools).

Virtual reconstruction

The PYS remains were recovered encased in a block and had to be manually and mechanically prepared for study. Given the delicate preservation and infantile age of the specimen, a microCT scan was performed to extract digital 3D models of the teeth and bones, which were still embedded within the sediment. Because of the delicate state of preservation and the need to preserve the information regarding the position of the body, we decided on a combination of mechanical and virtual isolation of the skeleton. However, the low density of the bones prevented proper virtual isolation of the elements, and it was necessary to carefully isolate the cranial from the postcranial elements (Supplementary Information A) and to combine microCT and surface scanners to reconstruct the original position of the child inside the block. The microCT scans were performed at the Laboratory of Microscopy of the Centro Nacional de Investigacion sobre la Evolucion Humana-Unique Scientific & Technical Infrastuctures (CENIEH-ICTS, Burgos) with a Phoenix v|tome|x s (GE Measurement & Control). First, the entire block was scanned at 140 kV and 400 μ A, with a 0.2-mm Cu filter and an integration time of 333 ms, which resulted in an isometric voxel size of 0.1227 mm. As the remains were carefully manually cleaned, we performed a microCT of the block containing the cranial remains at 200 kV and 400 µA, with the same filter and integration time, resulting in an isometric voxel size of 0.0769 mm, and another scan of the block containing the postcranial elements at 140 kV and 250 µA, with the same filter and integration time, resulting in an isometric voxel size of 0.0479 mm. Each isolated tooth and each smaller block that resulted from the mechanical excavation were also microCT scanned. Additionally, surface scans were performed with a hand scanner (Artec Space Spider) under conditions of artificial light for each excavated element, and the scans were processed using Artec Studio 11 software to create surface models. Digital cleaning and segmentation were performed with Mimics 18.0 (Materialise, Be) and Avizo 7.0 (Visualization Sciences Group, 2012) using a combination of automatic and manual segmentation, to generate STL files of all PYS remains. Digital renderings of the specimen in several views were done with Avizo, Mimics and MeshLab. With the digital renders, it was possible to identify several anatomical elements, such as the first vertebra in anatomical connection. The identification of two teeth and several shells in the first microCT of the main block was useful to provide reference landmarks to later orient the microCT of the skull and the CT and surface scans of the thorax in their original position. Furthermore, and to understand the position of the child within the block, the skull of a Homo sapiens child in a similar stage of development was microCT scanned and virtually reconstructed. Using Mimics and MeshLab software, both PYS and Homo sapiens crania were superimposed with the registration and align tool. A best-fit was made to reference them in the best position and to see which parts were deformed or displaced. Furthermore, both crania were placed again in the burial pit using as a reference the two teeth identified in the first microCT scan of the main block. In addition, a comparative human skeleton of H. sapiens of a similar age was scanned using the same surface scanner to obtain digital models of all the long bones, hips and ribs, to help reconstruct the original position of the child inside the burial. Using Mimics software, all the models were scaled to obtain a length similar to that of a 2.5-3-year-old child (following Scheuer and Black⁶⁵). To align the comparative skeleton with the PYS remains, the STL models of the vertebral column, ribs, clavicle, scapula and humerus were aligned using both the CT of the entire block and the CT of the PYS vertebral column.

Once all the remains and comparative models had been aligned, we used 3ds Max 2020 (Autodesk), combing the topopgraphic information available from the field, photographs of the excavation plan, and all STL and OBJ models of both Mtoto and the comparative skeleton, to produce the closest reconstruction of the original position of the child when it was found at the site. Each anatomical element was reoriented following the topographic field information and the orthophotos of the site. When all the remains had been located in space, we proceeded to create textures, shadows and lights, to visualize the preserved skeletal elements and to integrate them into their original positions in the comparative skeleton. Some renderings have been made using the semi-transparent comparative skeleton, to understand and visualize the position of the PYS remains in the burial, and to understand its anatomical connections.

Thin-section micromorphology and bone microscopy

A micromorphology sample collected in a 6×10 -cm polyurethane box at the end of the 2013 field season (PYS 2013 M1:19/18) intercepted soft, degraded bone. This sample was not processed for micromorphological analysis: it was stored until 2019, when it became apparent that it may have contained parts of Mtoto's skeleton (probably fragments of lower limbs and ribs). The box was then excavated at CENIEH (Burgos). In the course of this excavation, centimetre-sized bone fragments and lumps of the sediment matrix were collected for microscopic investigation. Bone and sediment were air-dried and impregnated with polyester resin under desiccation vacuum. One uncovered petrographic thin section (30-µm thick) was produced from the impregnated block. The section was examined under a polarizing microscope (×10 to ×400 magnification) with plane polarized (PPL), cross-polarized (XPL) and oblique incident light (OIL). Our description of the sediment features follows Bullock et al⁶⁶, Stoops⁶⁷ and Stoops et al.⁶⁸. Estimates and measurements of sediment inclusions and histological attributes of the bone fragments were made with the aid of standard semiguantitative estimation charts and the analySIS pro5 image analysis software. The degree of diagenetic alteration of bone was estimated mainly through optical microscopy, using the General Histology (GH) index⁶⁹-an estimate of microstructural alteration similar to the more commonly used Oxford Histology index^{70,71} (OHI), but taking into account other types of structural and compositional alteration (generalized destruction, staining, accumulation of authigenic deposits and fissuring) besides bioerosion. Where identifiable, microscopic bioerosion ('microscopical focal destruction', MFD⁷²) was recorded following the typology proposed by Hackett⁷² and Jans⁷³. Scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) analysis (on a Zeiss EVO-MA15, with an Oxford Instruments InCA Max 80 mm EDS) was carried out on the polished, uncoated thin section, to observe bone microstructure at higher magnifications and determine the elemental composition of selected features. Low-vacuum conditions were used (60 Pa) to prevent charging of the sample surface; strict operating conditions of 50 µA filament current, 2.525 A gun current, 20 kV accelerating voltage, and an 8.5-mm working distance to achieve an acquisition rate of 15 kcps were applied to standardize the analyses. A polished Co standard was analysed to adjust for beam current drift, and a polished dolomite standard was used to confirm the accuracy of the calculated absolute element concentrations. Navigation on the sample was aided by section scans. Data are reported as non-normalized percentage weights.

Dental analysis

Comparative metrical and morphological study of teeth. The evaluation of morphological features was made on the original fossils and the virtual images obtained by microtomography. The descriptive terminology used in this report derives from the following sources: Carlsen⁷⁴, Tobias⁷⁵, Turner et al.⁷⁶, Scott and Turner⁷⁷, Martinón-Torres et al.⁷⁸, Martinón-Torres et al.⁷⁹, Martínez de Pinillos et al.⁸⁰, and table 4 in Martinón-Torres et al.⁸¹, which includes a modified version of the Arizona State University Dental Anthropological System (ASUDAS) of scoring. Occlusal wear was recorded following Molnar⁸². The comparison was focused in the Late Pleistocene samples, *H. sapiens* and *H. neanderthalensis*, although several samples from Middle to Late Pleistocene Africa were included to assess the variability of the African fossil record. The mesiodistal (MD) and buccolingual (BL) dimensions of the PYS child were measured by J.M.B.d.C. to the nearest 0.1 mm, following the methods of Flechier, Lefêvre, and Verdéne⁸³. Apart from the MD and the BL, we also calculated the computed crown area (CCA: $MD \times BL$) and the measured crown area (CI: [BL/MD] × 100). In addition, the cusp areas of the M1s were measured following Bermúdez de Castro et al.⁸⁴ and using the criteria outlined by Bailey⁸⁵. The areas were measured three times and the average of the three values was used. The total crown base area (TCBA) was calculated as the sum of all the individual cusp areas. The PYS values were compared against a large hominin sample of *H. sapiens*, *H. neanderthalensis* and some relevant Middle to Late Pleistocene fossils from Africa.

Enamel thickness. Virtual sectioning of the molars was performed following the protocol described by Olejniczak and colleagues⁸⁶. The mCT image stack was imported into Amira (6.3.0, FEI) and rotated into anatomical position. Then, the tip of three dentine horns (protoconid, metaconid and hypoconid in the mandibular molars and protocone, paracone and hypocone in the maxillary molars) were identified and the image stack was adjusted to intersect these three points of interest. A new plane perpendicular to the plane containing the three dentine horns was rotated to pass through the mesial dentine horns (protoconid and metaconid in the mandibular molars and protocone and paracone in the maxillary molars). We assessed enamel thickness from virtual 2D mesial cross-section planes in each PYS molar as described by Martin⁸⁷ using Amira (6.2, FEI) and ImageJ (1.51, NIH). In each mesial plane, we measured the enamel (c) and dentine cap (b, including thepulp) areas (in mm²), adding up to the total crown area (a, in mm²), and the EDI length (d, in mm). We calculated the average enamel thickness (AET = c/d), the relative enamel thickness (RET = $100 \times AET/(b^{0.5})$) and the percentage of dentine and pulp in the molar crown $(b/a = 100 \times b/a)$, in %). We assessed volume enamel thickness of the molar caps in the complete sample from PYS. Using Amira (6.3.0, FEI) we performed segmentation of the dental tissues (enamel, dentine and pulp). We used the semiautomatic tool, threshold-based segmentation, and manual corrections. We used the protocol of Olejniczak et al.⁸⁶ to define the cervical plane-that is, the plane halfway between the most apical continuous ring of enamel and the plane containing the last hint of enamel. The following variables were measured and/or calculated: volume of the enamel (V_e in mm³); volume of the coronal dentine including the pulp enclosed in the crown (V_{cdp} in mm³); total volume of the crown, including the enamel, dentine and pulp (V_c in mm³); surface of the EDJ (SEDJ in mm²); percentage of dentine and pulp in the total crown volume $(V_{cdp}/V_c = 100 \times V_{cdp}/V_c \text{ in }\%)$; 3D average enamel thickness (3D AET = V_e/V_c SEDJ in mm) and 3D relative enamel thickness (3D RET = $100 \times 3D$ AET/ ($V_{cdp}^{1/3}$), a scale-free measurement)^{86,88}. To extract the largest amount of information from the PYS specimens and the comparative samples, including the occlusal worn molars, we assessed lateral (non-occlusal) enamel thickness in the complete sample. In Amira (6.3.0, FEI) we defined the occlusal basin plane, a plane parallel to the cervical plane and tangential to the lowest enamel point of the occlusal basin. All material above the occlusal basin plane was removed and only the enamel, dentine and pulp between these two planes were measured^{89,90}. The following variables were measured and/or calculated: lateral volume of the enamel (LV_e in mm³); lateral volume of the coronal dentine including the pulp enclosed in the crown (LV_{cdp} in mm³); total lateral volume of the crown, including the lateral enamel, dentine and pulp (LV_c in mm³); lateral surface of the EDJ (LSEDJ in mm²); percentage of dentine and pulp in the lateral crown volume ($LV_{cdp}/LV_c = 100 \times LV_{cdp}/LV_c$ in %); 3D average enamel thickness (3D LAET = $LV_e/LSEDJ$ in mm) and 3D lateral relative enamel thickness (3D LRET = $100 \times 3D$ LAET/(LV_{cdD}^{1/3}), a scale-free measurement)⁹¹. The results of the 2D and 3D measurements in PYS specimens were compared with two populations: Neanderthals and modern humans. Adjusted Z-scores^{92,93} of the three variables accounting for tissue proportions (percentage of dentine, AET and RET)

were computed to compare 2D and 3D values of the PYS specimens to the means and standard deviations of the Neanderthal and modern human groups. This statistical method allows the comparison of unbalanced samples by using Student's inverse *t*-distribution. In these *Z*-scores, the –1.0 to +1.0 interval comprises 95% of the variation in the reference sample. In addition, standard box and whisker plots were computed to represent three sets of variables of crown volume and lateral volume (including 3D V_{cdp}/V_c , 3D AET, 3D RET and 3D LV_{cdp}/V_c , 3D LAET, 3D LRET) in the PYS sample and the complete comparative specimens and/or groups.

Tissue distribution (cartographic maps). To visualize the topographic distribution of enamel thickness in PYS specimens, 3D chromatic maps were generated in Amira (6.3.0, FEI). The defined chromatic scale is from thinnest (blue) to thickest (red)^{94,95}. For comparative purposes, we generated chromatic maps of a selected sample of specimens, including: Neanderthals from Roc de Marsal (lower and upper deciduous m2), La Quina (upper permanent M1) and Abri Suard (lower permanent M1); Fossil *H. sapiens* from La Madaleine (lower deciduous m2), Qafzeh (upper deciduous m2 and upper and lower permanent M1); and modern humans of European origin (upper and lower deciduous m2, and upper and lower permanent M1).

Geometric morphometric analysis of the EDJ. We performed geometric morphometric analysis of the EDJ morphology on the virtual surfaces of PYS specimens and a comparative sample that included Neanderthals, fossil H. sapiens and modern humans (Supplementary Table F4). We reconstructed the slightly worn dentine horns of the comparative sample in Geomagic Studio (version 2012; https://www. geomagic.com) with the fill-holes tool. When necessary, we mirrored the comparative specimens according to the PYS molar type. Using the landmark tool in Amira, we placed one landmark on the dentine horn tip of each main cusp (protocone, paracone, metacone and hypocone on maxillary molars, and protoconid, metaconid, hypoconid, entoconid and hypocunulid on mandibular molars). Next, we placed a set of semilandmarks (96 and 95 points in maxillary and mandibular molars, respectively) along the marginal crests. In each molar, configuration segments were saved independently. Using the package R, we generated a document containing the coordinate configuration for each molar type. Then, using R package, we performed weighted between-group principal component analysis (bgPCA) on the basis of the Procrustes and deformation-based shape residuals⁹⁶. Finally, we tested for allometry on the landmark-based analyses using the coefficient of determination (R^2) of a multiple regression⁹⁷, in which the explicative variable is the centroid size and the dependent variables are the bgPC scores⁹⁸.

Faunal analysis

Zooarchaeology. Two separate zooarchaeological studies were conducted: N.A. analysed remains from burial fill at the Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History in Jena, and M.E.P. analysed remains from trenches 3 and 4 at the National Museums of Kenya in Nairobi. Access to comparative skeletal material for eastern Africa was distinct in each study, and analytical protocols differed somewhat.

Fauna, **burial fill.** For the burial fill (context 809 in trench 8), all bone fragments were sorted, counted and measured (length, width and thickness) using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo 500-463), regardless of identifiability. The specimens were recorded in detail using codes following a zonation system that allowed the description of fragmentation patterns. All identified fragments were examined for bone surface modifications under a Nikon C-PCN stereomicroscope.

Fauna, trenches 3 and 4. For trenches 3 and 4, faunal remains are reported from the following layers and contexts: layer 17 (contexts 316X,

317Y-Z, 420B-F), layer 18 (422A-C), layer 19 (423A-D). These remains were weighed and sorted into those identifiable at least to a minimal level (for example, mammal limb bone), and those not easily identifiable at any level. For each identified specimen, recorded variables include taxon, element, portion, side, weathering, breakage, and bone surface modifications. Cortical surfaces of all identified specimens were examined with a 20× hand lens under strong oblique light.

Sediment analysis

Two sets of samples were analysed in the framework of this study. The first set comprised sediment samples from archaeological layers and the burial pit. The second set included reddish micro-agglomerates associated with the child's remains.

Sediment analysis from archaeological layers and the burial pit. Two groups of sediment samples were analysed. The first group comprises twenty-four samples of sediment collected during the excavation of trenches 3 and 8 in 2017 (PYS-2017-200127 to PYS-2017-200153). They come from layers 17, 18 and 19, and were collected at 2-cm depth intervals. They do not include sediment from the burial (Supplementary Table G1).

The second group comprises five sediment samples collected close to the human remains (Supplementary Table G2). Three samples, reddish-brown in colour, were retrieved close to the maxilla, the face, and inside the cranial vault. The other two, brownish in colour, were collected close to the occipital bone and the postcranial perimeter. These five samples were retrieved during the excavation of the skeleton at the CENIEH Conservation and Restoration Laboratory.

The sediment samples were studied at the UMR 5199 PACEA and UMR 5060 IRAMAT/CRP2A laboratories in Bordeaux. The samples were examined and photographed with a motorized Leica Z6 APOA microscope equipped with a DFC420 digital camera. Uploaded images were treated with Leica Application Suite equipped with the Multifocus module. Samples were then prepared for grain-size analysis with a Horiba LA-950 laser particle size analyser. The sample pre-treatment included suspension in sodium hexametaphosphate (5g/l) and hydrogen peroxide (35%) at room temperature for 12 h. The resulting compound was subjected to 60 s ultrasonification to achieve optimal dispersion. The Mie solution to Maxwell's equation provided the basis for calculating the particle size^{99,100}, using a refractive index of 1.333 for water and 1.55 - 0.01i for the particles. The grain-size distributions expressed in ϕ units were decomposed in different Gaussian populations (parametric curve fitting method) using the mixdist R package¹⁰¹ to identify the main modes and their relative proportions. The limits used for grain-size classes are as follows: <7 µm (clays), 7-63 µm (silts) and 63-2,000 µm (sands). These limits were based on studies showing that the number of clay particles measured by laser diffraction is usually underestimated (for example, Konert and Vandenberghe¹⁰²).

Elemental analysis was carried out using a hand-held SPECTRO xSORT energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) spectrometer from Ametek. This instrument is equipped with a silicon drift detector (SDD), a low power WX-ray tube with an excitation source of 40 kV, and an X-ray beam of 8 mm. Spectrum acquisition times were set to 300 s. Measurements were performed with a constant working distance by using a positioning device consisting of a lead receptacle to which the spectrometer was fixed. Samples were ground and homogenized with an agate mortar and then placed into a plastic cup covered with a Prolene thin film. Three measurements were taken on each sample. Element contents were calculated as the average of these acquisitions. To precisely quantify the elemental composition of the samples, we applied a dedicated calibration for sediment samples (see Sitzia et al.¹⁰³ for details). This calibration allows quantification of Si, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Zn, Ga, As, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, and Ba. Centred log ratio (clr) was used to analyse geochemical data as an alternative to the raw percentages, following Aitchison¹⁰⁴. Before statistical analysis, a non-parametric replacement was made for the null values below the limit of detection, according to the method proposed by Martín-Fernández et al.¹⁰⁵. Some elements were excluded when they displayed more than one third of missing values (Cr, Ni and Sr) or showed a heterogeneous distribution (V). We performed PCA of EDXRF concentrations for the thirteen major, minor, and trace elements more frequently detected (Si, K, Ca, Ti, Mn, Fe, Zn, Ga, As, Rb, Y, Zr and Ba). All data analyses were done with the ade4 package¹⁰⁶ for R software.

We performed XRD analyses on powder samples by using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (Bragg-Brentano Theta-Theta geometry, fixed sample in the horizontal plane, movable tube and detector), goniometer diameter 600 mm, Cu anti-cathode X source, Cu-Ka incident X incident doublet radiation. Acquisitions were conducted with an angular range of $3-60^{\circ}$ at 2Θ (reticular distances 1.54–29 Å), measurement step 0.01°, with a 181-pixel linear detector type Bruker LynxEye covering simultaneously 2.6° in 2Θ , an analysis time per point of 550 s (approximately 9 min per pixel) and an angular reproducibility of 0.017°, supporting sample holders of 25-mm diameter and 1.5-mm thickness, made of PolyMethyl MethAcrylate. Samples were previously ground and homogenized with an agate mortar. The time of analysis was 5h. Mineralogical phases and semiquantitative analysis were achieved by using the routine DIFFRAC.SUITE EVA software package (Bruker AXS), which allows mathematical deletion of bottom noise and the Cu-Ka2 component, combined with the specific powder diffraction file (PDF2) database (International Centre for Diffraction Data-ICDD).

Reddish micro-agglomerates associated with the child's remains.

The reddish micro-agglomerates, possibly fragments of iron-rich rocks commonly called ochre, were detected in the sediment coating the child's remains during their excavation and consolidation at the CENIEH. An analytical pipeline involving observation and sampling under low-magnification optical microscope, Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and SEM–EDS, was applied to establish the elemental and mineralogical composition of these micro-agglomerates. The aim was to investigate whether ochre may have been involved in the mortuary practices that led to the deposition and preservation of the remains. All analyses were conducted at the CENIEH Archaeometry and Microscopy laboratories.

Two groups of reddish micro-agglomerates were analysed. The first set consisted of 55 micro-agglomerates contained in samples of reddish-brown clay sediment collected close to the maxillary, the face, and inside the cranial vault. The micro-agglomerates typically correspond to about 900×600 -µm associations of grains with distinct reddish shades (Supplementary Fig. G1). The second group is composed of two larger—about 2–10 mm—red fragments collected on the ribs and vertebral bodies (Supplementary Fig. G2). Fifteen agglomerates from the first group and the two comprising the second group were submitted to analytical microscopy (Raman spectroscopy, SEM-EDS) and XRD analysis (Supplementary Table G3).

A FEI Quanta 600 SEM fitted with EDS, and Oxford Instruments INCA software to interface with the EDS, was used to obtain an estimation of the bulk elemental composition of the agglomerates. Operating conditions were 15 kV of acceleration voltage, working distance of 10 mm, and acquisition time of 90 s, resulting in typical dead times between 10 and 17%. Unless otherwise specified, results are given as compound weight percentage, oxygen calculated by stoichiometry and normalized to 100%. All SEM micrographs are low vacuum secondary electron images.

The samples were first observed at low magnification-typically in the range $100-200\times$ -to capture the entire particle (Supplementary Fig. G3). Three representative locations were then selected to investigate the sample microstructure at 1,200×, where surface features and mineral phases were measured. Three areas were analysed at each location to estimate the average bulk chemical composition. Finally, a mapping of the matrix between crystals was conducted at 2,400× and compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk composition obtained at 1,200× (Supplementary Compared to the bulk co

Fig. G4). Occasionally, other magnifications were used to better investigate texture, grain morphology and their relationships with elemental composition. Analyses at high magnifications were conducted to avoid potential biases due to surface irregularities and voids. The analytical procedure was adapted from the analysis of similar mineral particles described in Pitarch Martí et al.¹⁰⁷.

The mineralogical composition of the sample was established by XRD. Owing to the destructive nature of this technique, these analyses were not performed on agglomerates analysed by SEM-EDS and RAMAN but on residues coming from the same samples. Sediment was hand ground in an agate mortar with a pestle. The resulting powder was tapped onto silicon discs and mounted in stainless steel sample holders. Patterns were collected with a PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer with CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) and a solid-state multichannel detector. Each sample was scanned over the 2-theta range 5–80° with a step size of 0.03. The working tension and intensity were 45 kV and 40 mA, respectively, and the time of analysis ranged from 3 to 16 h. Semi-quantitative analysis was performed on the XRD data using the X'Pert High Score software. Owing to the small amount of material analysed, the results should be regarded as qualitative.

Raman spectra were acquired using a DRX Thermo Scientific Raman dispersive spectrometer, with a laser emitting at 780 nm. The power radiation measured under the Olympus ×50 microscope objective was about 0.5–0.8 mW. Acquisitions of about 25 s and multiple additions were used. The spectrometer worked in a spectral range from 55 to 3,350 cm⁻¹. The calibration of the spectrometer was done with a polystyrene standard (main band 1,000 cm⁻¹). The recorded mineral spectra were contrasted against the RRUFF database library for phase identification¹⁰⁸ as well as Julien et al.¹⁰⁹, Bellot-Gurlet et al.¹¹⁰, Hanesch¹¹¹ and Babay et al.¹¹².

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

- Guo, Y.-J. et al. New ages for the Upper Palaeolithic site of Xibaimaying in the Nihewan Basin, northern China: implications for small-tool and microblade industries in north-east Asia during Marine Isotope Stages 2 and 3. J. Quat. Sci. 32, 540–552 (2017).
- Li, B., Jacobs, Z. & Roberts, R. G. Validation of the L_nT_n method for D_e determination in optical dating of K-feldspar and quartz. *Quat. Geochronol.* 58, 101066 (2020).
- Blegen, N. et al. Distal tephras of the eastern Lake Victoria basin, equatorial East Africa: correlations, chronology and a context for early modern humans. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 122, 89–111 (2015).
- Bronk Ramsey, C. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon 51, 337–360 (2009).
- Dabney, J. et al. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 15758–15763 (2013).
- Rohland, N., Glocke, I., Aximu-Petri, A. & Meyer, M. Extraction of highly degraded DNA from ancient bones, teeth and sediments for high-throughput sequencing. *Nat. Protocols* 13, 2447–2461 (2018).
- Gansauge, M.-T. et al. Single-stranded DNA library preparation from highly degraded DNA using T4 DNA ligase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, e79 (2017).
- Kircher, M., Sawyer, S. & Meyer, M. Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, e3 (2012).
- 54. Korlević, P. et al. Reducing microbial and human contamination in DNA extractions from ancient bones and teeth. *Biotechniques* **59**, 87–93 (2015).
- Glocke, I. & Meyer, M. Extending the spectrum of DNA sequences retrieved from ancient bones and teeth. Genome Res. 27, 1230–1237 (2017).
- Slon, V. et al. Mammalian mitochondrial capture, a tool for rapid screening of DNA preservation in faunal and undiagnostic remains, and its application to Middle Pleistocene specimens from Qesem Cave (Israel). Quat. Int. 398, 210–218 (2016).
- Fu, Q. et al. DNA analysis of an early modern human from Tianyuan Cave, China. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 2223–2227 (2013).
- Maricic, T., Whitten, M. & Pääbo, S. Multiplexed DNA sequence capture of mitochondrial genomes using PCR products. *PLoS ONE* 5, e14004 (2010).
- Renaud, G., Stenzel, U. & Kelso, J. leeHom: adaptor trimming and merging for Illumina sequencing reads. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, e141 (2014).

- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410 (1990).
- Huson, D. H., Auch, A. F., Qi, J. & Schuster, S. C. MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data. Genome Res. 17, 377–386 (2007).
- Slon, V. et al. Neandertal and Denisovan DNA from Pleistocene sediments. Science 356, 605–608 (2017).
- Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 64. Meyer, M. et al. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. Science **338**, 222–226 (2012).
- Scheuer, L. & Black, S. in Human Osteology: In Archeology and Forensic Science (eds. Cox, M. & Mays, S.) 9–22 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000).
- Bullock, P. et al. Handbook for Soil Thin Section Description (Waine Research, 1985).
 Stoops, G. Guidelines for Analysis and Description of Soil and Regolith Thin Sections.
- (Soil Science Soc. Am., 2003).68. Stoops, G., Marcelino, V. & Mees, F. (eds.) Interpretation of Micromorphological Features
- of Soils and Regoliths (Elsevier, 2018). 69. Hollund, H. I. et al. What happened here? Bone histology as a tool in decoding the postmortem histories of archaeological bone from Castricum, the Netherlands.
- Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 22, 537–548 (2012).
 Hedges, R. E. M., Millard, A. R. & Pike, A. W. G. Measurements and relationships of diagenetic alteration of bone from three archaeological sites. J. Archaeol. Sci. 22, 201– 209 (1995)
- Millard, A. R. in Handbook of Archaeological Sciences (eds. Brothwell, D. R. & Pollard, A. M.) 637-674 (Wiley. 2001).
- Hackett, C. J. Microscopical focal destruction (tunnels) in exhumed human bones. Med. Sci. Law 21, 243–265 (1981).
- Jans, M. M. E. in Current Developments in Bioerosion (eds. Wisshak, M. & Tapanila, L.) 397–404 (Springer, 2008).
- 74. Carlsen, O. Dental Morphology (Munksgaard, 1987).
- Tobias, P. V. The Skulls, Endocasts and Teeth of Homo habilis Vol. 4 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991).
- Turner, C. G., Nichol, C. R. & Scott, G. R. in Advances in Dental Anthropology (eds. Kelley, M. & Larsen, C.) 13–31 (Wiley-Liss, 1991).
- Scott, G. R. & Turner, C. G. The Anthropology of Modern Human Teeth: Dental Morphology and Its Variation in Recent Human Populations. (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997).
- Martinón-Torres, M. et al. Dental evidence on the hominin dispersals during the Pleistocene. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 104, 13279–13282 (2007).
- Martinón-Torres, M. et al. Dental remains from Dmanisi (Republic of Georgia): morphological analysis and comparative study. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 249–273 (2008).
- Martínez de Pinillos, M., Martinón-Torres, M., Martín-Francés, L., Arsuaga, J. L. & Bermúdez de Castro, J. M. Comparative analysis of the trigonid crests patterns in *Homo* antecessor molars at the enamel and dentine surfaces. *Quat. Int.* 433, 189–198 (2017).
- Martinón-Torres, M., Bermúdez de Castro, J. M., Gómez-Robles, A., Prado-Simón, L. & Arsuaga, J. L. Morphological description and comparison of the dental remains from Atapuerca-Sima de los Huesos site (Spain). J. Hum. Evol. 62, 7–58 (2012).
- Molnar, S. Human tooth wear, tooth function and cultural variability. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 34, 175–189 (1971).
- Lefèvre, J. Etude odontologique des hommes de Muge. Bull. Mem. Soc. Anthropol. Paris 10, 301–333 (1973).
- Bermúdez de Castro, J. M., Sarmiento, S., Cunha, E., Rosas, A. & Bastir, M. Dental size variation in the Atapuerca-SH Middle Pleistocene hominids. *J. Hum. Evol.* 41, 195–209 (2001).
- Bailey, S. E. A morphometric analysis of maxillary molar crowns of Middle-Late Pleistocene hominins. J. Hum. Evol. 47, 183–198 (2004).
- Olejniczak, A. J. et al. Dental tissue proportions and enamel thickness in Neandertal and modern human molars. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 12–23 (2008).
- Martin, L. Significance of enamel thickness in hominoid evolution. *Nature* **314**, 260–263 (1985).
- Kono, R. T. Molar enamel thickness and distribution patterns in extant great apes and humans: new insights based on a 3-dimensional whole crown perspective. *Anthropol. Sci.* **112**, 121–146 (2004).
- Toussaint, M. et al. The Neandertal lower right deciduous second molar from Trou de l'Abîme at Couvin, Belgium. J. Hum. Evol. 58, 56–67 (2010).
- Zanolli, C. et al. Is the deciduous/permanent molar enamel thickness ratio a taxon-specific indicator in extant and extinct hominids? C. R. Palevol 16, 702–714 (2017).
- Olejniczak, A. J. & Grine, F. E. High-resolution measurement of Neandertal tooth enamel thickness by microfocal computed-tomography. S. Afr. J. Sci. 101, 219–220 (2005).
- Maureille, B., Rougier, H., Houët, F. & Vandermeersch, B. Les dents inférieures du Néandertalien Regourdou 1 (site de Regourdou, commune de Montignac, Dordogne): analyses métriques et comparatives. *Paleo* 13, 183–200 (2001).
- Scolan, H., Santos, F., Tillier, A.-M., Maureille, B. & Quintard, A. Des nouveaus vestiges Néanderthaliens à Las Pélénos (Monsempron-Libos, Lot-et-Garonne, France). Bull. Mem. Soc. Anthropol. Paris 24, 69–95 (2012).
- Bayle, P. et al. in Pleistocene Databases: Acquisition, Storing, Sharing (eds. Macchiarelli, R. & Weniger, G. C.) 29–46 (Mettmann: Wissenschaftliche Schriften des Neanderthal Museums 4, 2011).
- Macchiarelli, R., Bondioli, L., Mazurier, A. & Zanolli, C. in *Technique and Application in Dental Anthropology* (eds. Irish, J. D. & Nelson, G. C.) 425–448 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008).
- Mitteroecker, P. & Bookstein, F. Linear discrimination, ordination, and the visualization of selection gradients in modern morphometrics. Evol. Biol. 38, 100–114 (2011).
- Bookstein, F. Morphometric Tools for Landmark Data Geometric and Biology (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991).
- Mitteroecker, P., Gunz, P., Windhager, S. & Schaefer, K. A brief review of shape, form, and allometry in geometric morphometrics, with applications to human facial morphology. *Hystrix* 24, 59–66 (2013).

- ISO. Particle Size Analysis Laser Diffraction Methods https://www.iso.org/obp/ ui/#iso:std:iso:13320:ed-1:v2:en (ISO, 2009).
- Jones, R. M. Particle size analysis by laser diffraction: ISO 13320, standard operating procedures, and mie theory. Am. Lab. 35, 44–47 (2003).
- Macdonald, P. & Du, J. Mixdist: Finite Mixture Distribution Models https://cran.r-project. org/package=mixdist (2012).
- Konert, M. & Vandenberghe, J. Comparison of laser grain size analysis with pipette and sieve analysis: A solution for the underestimation of the clay fraction. Sedimentology 44, 523–535 (1997).
- 103. Sitzia, L., Gayo, E. M. & de Pol-Holz, R. A perched, high-elevation wetland complex in the Atacama Desert (northern Chile) and its implications for past human settlement. Quat. Res. 92, 33–52 (2019).
- 104. Aitchison, J. The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data (Chapman and Hall, 1986).
- Martín-Fernández, J. A., Barcelo-Vidal, C. & Pawlowsky-Glahn, V. Dealing with zeros and missing values in compositional data sets using nonparametric imputation. *Math. Geol.* 35, 253–278 (2003).
- Dray, S. & Dufour, A.-B. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. J. Stat. Soft. 22, 4 (2007).
- Pitarch Martí, A., Wei, Y., Gao, X., Chen, F. & d'Errico, F. The earliest evidence of coloured ornaments in China: the ochred ostrich eggshell beads from Shuidonggou Locality 2. J. Anthropol. Archaeol. 48, 102–113 (2017).
- Downs, R. T. The RRUFF project: An integrated study of the chemistry, crystallography, raman and infrared spectroscopy of minerals. Prog. Abstr. 19th Gen. Meeting Intl Mineralog. Assoc. O03-13 (2006).
- Julien, C. M., Massot, M. & Poinsignon, C. Lattice vibrations of manganese oxides. Part I. Periodic structures. Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 60, 689–700 (2004).
- Bellot-Gurlet, L. et al. Raman studies of corrosion layers formed on archaeological irons in various media. J. Nano Res. 8, 147-156 (2009).
- Hanesch, M. Raman spectroscopy of iron oxides and (oxy) hydroxides at low laser power and possible applications in environmental magnetic studies. *Geophys.* 177, 941–948 (2009).
- Babay, S., Mhiri, T. & Toumi, M. Synthesis, structural and spectroscopic characterizations of maghemite γ-Fe2o3 prepared by one-step coprecipitation route. J. Mol. Struct. 1085, 286–293 (2015).

Acknowledgements Funding for this project was provided by the SEALINKS project under a European Research Council (ERC) grant (no. 206148) and the Max Planck Society (to N.B.). Funding for the hominin analyses was from the Dirección General de Investigación of the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, grant numbers PGC2018-093925-B-C31

and C33 (MCI/AEI/FEDER, UE) and The Leakey Foundation, through the personal support of G. Getty (2013) and D. Crook (2014-2020) to M.M.-T.; analyses were also carried out at the laboratories of the CENIEH-ICTS with the support of the CENIEH staff. E.S. has a Ramón Areces/ Atapuerca Foundation postdoctoral grant. L.M.-F. is a beneficiary of an Atapuerca Foundation postdoctoral grant. S.J.A. and F.d'E. acknowledge support from the Research Council of Norway, through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme, SFF Centre for Early Sapiens Behaviour (SapienCE) (no. 262618). F.d'E. was funded by the ERC grant TRACSYMBOLS (no. 249587), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-10-LABX-52), LaScArBx Cluster of Excellence, and the Talents programme of the University of Bordeaux, Initiative d'Excellence. A.P.M. was funded by the Beatriu de Pinós postdoctoral programme (2017 BP-A 00046) of the Government of Catalonia's Secretariat for Universities & Research of the Ministry of Economy and Knowledge. We thank B. Kimeu for the extraction of Mtoto in the field, N. Blegen for conducting the digital work in the field, R. Blasco for insights about taphonomy, R. García and P. Saladié for assisting in anatomical identification, S. Sarmiento for the tooth photographs and M. O'Reilly for assisting with graphic design. We thank G. Musuko and family for permission to excavate the site. Permission to conduct the research was granted by the National Commission for Science. Technology and Innovation Office of the President of the Republic of Kenva through affiliation with the National Museums of Kenva (NMK). We are grateful for the support of the NMK administration, staff from the preparation and archaeology section, and the British Institute in Eastern Africa.

Author contributions N.B., M.D.P. and E.N. designed and directed the PYS research; C.S. and J.B. directed the field excavations; M.M.-T., J.M.B.d.C., J.L.A., E.S. and L.M.-F. analysed the hominin fossil; P.F.-C. conducted the mechanical restoration and conservation of the hominin; E.S. and J.G.C. conducted the virtual restoration and reconstruction of the hominin; F.Y.A., S.J.A., J.B., A.C., S.D., K.D., F.-X.L.B., A.A.G., B.N., D.L., N.K., G.M., D.M., J.M., J.M.M., A.P.M., M.E.P., A.Q., S.R., P.R., M.J.S., C.S. and I.S. conducted analytical studies; M.M.-T., M.D.P., F.d'E. and N.B. wrote the paper with contributions of all authors.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03457-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M.-T., N.B. or M.D.P. Peer review information *Nature* thanks Louise Humphrey, Richard Klein, Wu Liu and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints.



Extended Data Fig. 1 | **PYS MSA lithics.** Top, the relative sizes of flakes through layers 18–17 (MSA) and layer 16 (early LSA) visualized with a violin plot, illustrating the density of values by layer as a continuous distribution. Note the decrease across layers 17–16. The flakes recovered from the burial (*n* = 14), shown here with a box plot, fall within the variation in product weight for the MSA. The box plot shows the median value for burial lithics at the centre, with two neighbouring hinges marking the 25th and 75th percentiles. The whiskers

plot the distance from the hinge values to the largest and smallest values in the burial dataset, to a maximum distance of 1.5 times the interquartile range (data beyond this range, if present, would have been plotted as individual outlier points). Below, facetted limestone flakes from MSA layers of PYS. A, limestone flake from burial context (809) with facetted dihedral platform; B, retouched limestone flake with large facetted platform from layer 17.

OxA-30146 4 OxA-29431 4 OxA-29431 - Layer 9 - 10/9 - Layer 10 - 11/10 - OxA-29984 - OxA-29985 - OxA-29985 - OxA-29985 - OSL-11-KF - OSL-17-KF - OSL-17-XF	9/8						
CxA-29431 CSL-13-Q Layer 10 109 Layer 10 10/9 CxA-29984 OXA-29986 CSL-11-KF OSL-11-KF OSL-11-Q Layer 11 12/11 CSL-9-Q CSL-9-Q CSL-9-Q CSL-9-Q CSL-9-Q CSL-17-KF OSL-17-K	OxA-30146				<u>≜</u>		
(SSL-13-Q) Layer 9 109 Layer 10 11/10 (SSL-11-KF) (SSL-11-KF) (SSL-11-Q) Layer 11 12/11 Layer 12 13/12 (SSL-17-Q)	OxA-29431				<u>_</u>		
Layer 9 109 109 109 109 104 109 107 109 107 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	OSL-13-Q		-				
10.9 Image: 10 11/10 Image: 10 0XA-29984 Image: 10 0XA-29986 Image: 10 0SL-11-KF Image: 11 12/11 Image: 12 13/12 Image: 13 0SL-17-KF Image: 13 15/13 Image: 13 16/15 Image: 16 Start 16 Image: 17 18/17 Image: 18 Start of PYS sequence Image: 18	Layer 9						
ayer 10 1/1/0 CxA-29986 CxA-2998 CxA-298 CxA-20 CxA-298 CxA-298 CxA-298 CxA-298 CxA-20 CxA-298 CxA-2	10/9						
11/10 CxA-29984 CxA-29986 CxA-29985 CSL-11-KF CSL-11-Q Cayer 11 CSL-11-Q CSL-11-Q<!--</td--><td>_ayer 10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	_ayer 10						
0xA-29984 	11/10						
0xA-29986 0xA-29986 0xL-11-KF 0xL-11-KF 0xurr 0xurr ayer 11 1211 1312 0xL-11-KF 0xurr 0xurr 0xurr 1312 0xurr	OxA-29984			<u>_</u>			
OXA-29985	OxA-29986			<u>+</u>			
OSL-11-KF Image: 11 OSL-11-Q Image: 12 13/12 Image: 13 15/13 Image: 13 OSL-17-Q Image: 14 0SL-17-KF Image: 15 0SL-17-Q Image: 16 Start 16 Image: 17 Burial Image: 18 Start of PYS sequence Image: 18 Start of PYS sequence Image: 18	OxA-29985						
OSL-11-Q Image: 11 i.ayer 11 Image: 12 i.ayer 12 Image: 13 i.3/12 Image: 13 i.5/13 Image: 15 oSL-17-KF Image: 15 i.ayer 15 Image: 15 i.ayer 16 Image: 16 Start 16 Image: 17 Burial Image: 18 Start of PVS sequence Image: 18	OSL-11-KF						
ayer 11	OSL-11-Q						
12/11 Image: 12 13/12 Image: 13 15/13 Image: 13 0SL-07-Q Image: 14 0SL-17-Q Image: 15 16 Image: 16 Solar 16 Image: 17 18/17 Image: 17 18/17 Image: 17 18/17 Image: 17 18/17 Image: 18 Start of PYS squence Image: 18 Start of PYS squence Image: 18 Start of PYS squence Image: 18	aver 11						
ayer 12	2/11						
3/12 OSL-9-Q ayer 13 5/13 OSL-17-KF OSL-17-Q ayer 15 68/15 ayer 16 Start 16 End 17 OSL-5-KF Burial OSL-3-KF Start 18	aver 12						
OSL-0 Alternative 30SL-9/2 30SL-9/2 30SL-9/2 30SL-9/2 30SL-17-KF 68.3% probability 81950 (67.9%) 7390(82100 (0.4%) 82000) 30SL-17-Q 30SL-17-Q 30SL-17-Q 30SL-17-Q 66/15 30SL-17-Q 67/15 30SL-17-Q 67/15 30SL-17-Q 68.3% probability 80S10 (54.5%) 6900 67/17 3001 68.3% probability 80S10 (54.5%) 6900 78/17 3000 8/17 30000 8/17 30000 100000 90000 80000 70000 Modelled date (BP) Wodelled date (BP)	3/12						
OLD OL OL <th< td=""><td>0.51-9-0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	0.51-9-0						
Algo 10 Mitoto Burial OSL-17-KF 68.3% probability OSL-17-Q 82100 (0.4%) 82000 ayer 15 95.4% probability 66/15 95.4% probability 66/15 95.4% probability 8350 (95.4%) 6990 8350 (95.4%) 6990 66/17 95.4% probability 66/17 83350 (95.4%) 6990 66/17 95.4% probability 8350 (95.4%) 6990 80000 ±4150 1 0.00005 90000 80300 ±4150 1 90000 90000 80000 70000 Modelled date (BP) Modelled date (BP)	aver 13					OxCal v4.4.2 Bronk Rar	nsey (2020); r:50
OSL-17-KF 68.3% probability OSL-17-KF 95.4% probability ayer 15 95.4% probability 6/15 95.4% probability ayer 16 95.4% probability Start 16 96.4% probability Burial 0.0001 OSL-5-KF 0.00005 Burial 0.0000 OSL-4-KF 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Start 16 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Modelled date (BP) 0.0000	5/13					/	Mtoto Burial
OSL-17-Q 82100 (0.4%) 82000 .ayer 15	0.51 -17-KE						68.3% probability 81950 (67.9%) 739001
Start 16 95.4% probability 86350 (95.4%) 6990 Start 16 0.0001 Start 16 0.0005 End 17 0.0005 OSL-5-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 Start of PYS sequence 0.0000 anga Ya Saidi 0.0000	081-17-0			-			82100 (0.4%) 82000B
ayer 13 86350 (95.4%) 6990 6/15 6/15 ayer 16 6/17 Start 16 6/17 End 17 0.0001 OSL-5-KF 0.00005 Burial 0.00000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 Burial 0.0000 OSL-3-KF 0.0000 ayer 18 0.0000 Start of PYS sequence 0.0000 anga Ya Saidi 0.0000	aver 15						95.4% probability
0/13							86350 (95.4%) 69900
Algen 16	0/15 aver 16						Mean 78300 ±4150 B
Start 16	ayer to					de de	
Image: Prod 17 Image: Prod 17 OSL-5-KF Image: Prod 17 Burial Image: Prod 17 Burial Image: Prod 17 OSL-4-KF Image: Prod 17 OSL-3-KF Image: Prod 17 arger 18 Image: Prod 18 Start of PYS sequence Image: Prod 18 arga Ya Saidi Image: Prod 18							
OSL-5-KF						opart	
ayer 1/ ////////////////////////////////////	USL-5-KF	_					
8/17 100000 90000 80000 70000 Burial Image: Constraint of the second	ayer 17					/	
Bunal Modelled date (BP) OSL-4-KF	8/17					100000 90000	0 80000 70000 6
OSL-4-KF	Burial						Modelled date (BP)
OSL-3-KF	OSL-4-KF						
ayer 18 Start of PYS sequencea	OSL-3-KF						
Start of PYS sequence	ayer 18						
anga Ya Saidi	Start of PYS se	quence					
	anga Ya Saidi						

Extended Data Fig. 2 | **Bayesian model for the age estimation of PYS.** Left, Bayesian model of all available age determinations from PYS, produced using OxCal 4.4.2 and IntCal20. Right, age estimate of the burial determined using Bayesian model. A full description (OxCal code) of the age model is provided in Supplementary Information C.



Extended Data Fig. 3 Reconstruction of key taphonomic events of Mtoto's burial. a-c, The 3D sequence illustrates the reconstruction of the key taphonomic events that affected the shape and relationship of the head and the spine. a, Original right lateral decubitus position of the child in the burial pit. b, Lateral compression of the thorax because of the sediment weight; the ribs

are flattened but the rib cage does not collapse, as is common in decomposition in filled space (earth grave). **c**, The head dislocates, as is typical in the case of burials with perishable head support. **d**, Ideal reconstruction of Mtoto's original position at the moment of its discovery at the site.





Extended Data Fig. 4 | **Analysis of sediment from the stratigraphic** sequence and the burial pit. a, Particle size ternary diagram indicating the higher content of sand and silt in the burial pit in comparison to the encasing archaeological layers, and in particular layer 19. b, Examples of particle size distribution and multimodal decomposition showing the similarities of sand and silt modes between the burial samples and samples 137 (base of layer 17) and 138 (top of layer 18). c, Elemental profiles of sediment from layers 17, 18, 19

and the burial pit. Element concentrations are expressed in percentages. Data are presented as mean values. Sediment samples from the burial pit display an elemental composition notably similar to the three samples identified as an anomaly at the top of layer 18 and the base of layer 17. **d**, Results of PCA of the centre log ratio data (selected elements: SiO₂, K₂O, TiO₂, MnO, Fe₂O₃, Zn, Ga, As, Rb, Y, Zr and Ba). Confidence ellipses at 95%. The burial pit samples markedly differ from layer 19.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Micromorphological and histological analysis. a-h, Polarizing microscopy (a, c-f) and SEM (g, h) images of bone and sediment from Mtoto's burial and from surrounding contexts (b). a, Ferruginous microfacies (MF-fer: here a coarser-grained variant) adhering on cancellous bone (PPL). b, Small vertebrate bone fragment from ferruginous sediment at the top of layer 18, about 20 cm above Mtoto's skeleton. Note the good histological preservation and longitudinal and transverse fracturing (PPL). c, Mtoto's bone fragment. A Fe-oxide-stained calcite crust (Ca) covers the bone surface. A thin zone of non-clouded bone (HAP-conventionally 'hydroxyapatite') immediately beneath the bone surface is underlain with clouded, Ca-enriched bone of the mesosteum (CLb). Note the sharp boundary between HAP and CLb (especially on the left side), and the dense non-Wedl bioerosion foci within CLb (linear longitudinal tunnels at about 45°; budding tunnels (dark spots), attributed to bacteria) and enlarged osteocyte lacunae (smaller dark spots). Double arrow shows two possible Wedl tunnels (PPL). d, As in c, but in XPL. The calcitic crust (Ca) comprises two layers: an Fe-oxidestained, microcrystalline layer, and a latter layer of clear, coarser-crystalline calcite (grey arrow). Birefringent areas within CLb mark osteons. Note the loss of birefringence in bioerosion foci (for example, lower right corner).

e, Enlarged osteocyte canaliculi and lacunae, possibly due to fungal or fungal and bacterial action, in clouded, Ca-enriched bone (PPL). f, Advanced alteration of putative human bone (general histological index: 2), with small areas of preserved histology, pervasive clouding, fissuring (for example, blue arrow), dissolution pores (for example, green arrow) and Fe and Mn impregnation (black spots). Note the spatial patterning of bioerosion, with domains of larger, circular, coalescent non-Wedl (bacterial) MFD (for example, red arrow) and smaller, more typical tunnels (budding and linear longitudinal: for example, white arrow). A crust of calcite speleothem (grey arrows) encrusts a transverse fracture across the bone (PPL). g, Budding and linear longitudinal tunnels in highly altered bone (area marked with white arrow in f). Some $smaller\mbox{-}scale, spongiform\mbox{ bioerosion}\mbox{ is also shown, surrounded with}$ permineralized rims (white) of redeposited 'hydroxyapatite' (light blue arrows) (SEM image). h, Periosteum of clouded bone (Cb), encrusted with carbonate deposits (MF-carb). Larger circular-elliptical pores (blue/turquoise) are Haversian canals. Dashed circles show foci of fine-scale (0.1-1 µm) bacterial $bioerosion\,within\,clouded\,bone\,(SEM\,image,with\,colour\,temperature\,filter\,to$ enhance resolution).



Extended Data Fig. 6 | **Histological analysis of Mtoto's bone.** Elemental composition of clouded bone (Cb) and encrusting calcium carbonate precipitate (Cc) (SEM–EDS image and spectra). The pictured area corresponds to that of Fig. 3c, d. Diffuse lighter grey areas within the clouded bone may be permineralized rims around fine-scale (0.1–1 µm) bioerosion foci. Note the

variable enrichment in Ca (especially in spectrum 21) and the low concentrations of Fe, Al, and Mg in the authigenic Ca-P phase that makes up the clouded bone. The pervasive recrystallization of the bone hydroxyapatite into a Ca-enriched, amorphous or cryptocrystalline calcium phosphate appears to be associated with fine-scale bacterial microtunnelling.



Extended Data Fig. 7 | PYS shell analysis. a, Fragments of Achatina cf. fulica found in close association with the child's skeleton. Observation of anatomical features allows precise identification of the provenance of the fragments on the shell. Fragments PYS-2017-200407 and PYS-2017-200404 come from the area of the body whorl adjacent to the middle portion of the parietal callus. Fragment PYS-2017-200405 must come from a portion of the shell close to that of the previous fragment and may derive from the same individual. Fragment PYS-2017-200406 comes from the middle of the body whorl, on its dorsal aspect. Although anatomically it is compatible with provenance from the same individual, its very dark colour, suggestive of a higher Mn intake, and different texture of the concretion coating its outer surface indicate that it had a different taphonomic history and may derive from a different shell. Fragment PYS-2017-200086.D comes from the middle of the body whorl, on its ventral aspect. b, Refitting of fragments PYS-2017-200407 and PYS-2017-200404. The two large fragments refit along an ancient fracture perpendicularly intercepting the shell growth lines. c, Modern striations on the inner surface of specimen PYS-2017-200406, probably produced during excavation or cleaning of the fragments. The modern origin of the striations is shown by their random orientation and absence of the thin manganese patina adhering to the inner surface of this specimen. d, Micrographs and 3D reconstruction of an area of the outer surface of fragment PYS-2017-200404 showing two grooves obliquely crossing the decussated sculpture of the outer shell surface. The internal morphology and outlines of the grooves indicate that they were made

by a pointed agent, possibly a stone tool, following the irregular morphology of the shell natural surface and slightly changing direction when falling into concave areas. The antiquity of the lines is demonstrated by the red sediment coating the specimen, which fills in the striations and almost completely buries them when they run into natural grooves of the shell. e, Fragments of Achatina cf. fulica found in feature 809 (bottom) and their anatomical origin (top). The twelve fragments mostly come from body whorls and last whorls of the spire of Achatina snails, with only two from the parietal wall and the apex. They present a similar state of preservation, colour, taphonomic modifications and type of concretions to the five fragments found in direct association with the skeleton. None of them bears incisions similar to those recorded on specimen PYS-2017-200404. Fragments comprising the control sample from layer 18 are, in general, more free from concretions than those from the skeleton and feature 809. f, Biplot and linear regression correlating the length and width of Achatina cf. *fulica* fragments from the grave pit (n = 12) and the skeleton (n = 5) with those from layer 18 (n = 581) (top), and box plots of length and width distributions of Achatina cf. fulica fragments from these two contexts (bottom). Rectangles in the box plots show the second and third quartiles, central bar indicates the median, and whiskers the extreme values. The fragments from the burial pit are significantly larger in size (P = 0.001) while displaying the same length/width ratio. Incorporation in the grave infilling have preserved Achatina fragments from the higher levels of fragmentation that have affected fragments exposed to trampling on the occupation surface in layer 18.

А



С



Extended Data Fig. 8 | **PYS human dental remains. a**, PYS dental remains: isolated teeth (left) and mCT 3D reconstruction of the two molars included in the maxillary and mandibular bones (right). All molars are positioned with the mesial surface towards the top and the distal surface towards the bottom. L (left); R (right); dm2 (second deciduous molar), M¹ (permanent upper first molar), M₁ (permanent lower first molar). b, bgPCA of the Procrustes shape



-2

-3

-1

0

bgPC1 (69.61 %)

1

D



2

3

coordinates of the PYS Ldm2 EDJ compared with those of Neanderthals (n = 6), fossil *H. sapiens* (n = 3) and modern humans (n = 5). **c**, bgPCA of the Procrustes shape coordinates of the PYS RM1 EDJ compared with those of Neanderthals (n = 6), fossil *H. sapiens* (n = 2) and modern humans (n = 12). **d**, bgPCA of the Procrustes shape coordinates of the PYS RM1 EDJ compared with those of Neanderthals (n = 12), d, bgPCA of the Procrustes shape coordinates of the PYS RM1 EDJ compared with those of Neanderthals (n = 12), fossil *H. sapiens* (n = 3) and modern humans (n = 12).

Extended Data Table 1 | PYS faunal remains

	NISD*	Circumference			Length			Breakage					
	INISP .		Circumierence					Diagenetic		Green			
		I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	NISP	%NISP	NISP	%NISP
Micro/Small Mammals	616	21	76	130	389	267	313	27	9	616	100	R	÷
Intermediate-sized Mammals	128	115	11	2	-	121	5	2	-	124	96.9	4	3.1
Large Mammals	17	16	1	-	-	17	-	-	-	12	70.6	5	29.4

Fragmentation of the appendicular skeletal elements recorded from the fill close to the burial in trench 8. The high level of fragmentation of the faunal remains contrasts with the relative completeness but advanced degradation of Mtoto's skeletal remains, indicating different taphonomic histories for faunal and human remains. Fragmentation code: 1, <25%; II, >25%-<50%; III, >50%-<100%; IV, 100%. NISP, number of identified specimens.

Extended Data Table 2 | Diagenesis of identifiable and putative human bone in Mtoto's section

Observations	Implications
Bone with cortical and cancellous tissue – Homo sapiens (juvenile) -Occurrence: 1-2cm, angular fragments, some with adhering sediment (mainly MF-carb) -Histology: cortical tissue with haversian systems (± primary osteons); cortical to cancellous in large fragments -Colour: Light beige (incident light); orange yellow (PPL); cloudy limpid, 1 st order orange-brown, 1 st order grey in periosteum (XPL) -GHI: 1-3; variable within the same fragment	
Bioerosion -Few, localised distinctive MFD (principally linear- longitudinal, budded): bacterial -Enlarged osteocyte lacunae: some amalgamating: bacterial -Type 2 Wedl tunnelling (periosteum: common; haversial canals: rare): Fungal? -Jugged periosteal surface: Unknown – bacterial? -Superficial pitting: insects?	- 'Arrested' bacterial bioerosion. Rapid defleshing? Seasonal/episodic waterlogging? -Early stages of bacterial bioerosion. Arrested -Early stages of fungal bioerosion: fungi spread mainly from the soil into the bone. -Unknown. Post-skeletonisation -Post-skeletonisation
Mineral/textural alteration -Extensive 'clouding' ((Ca-enriched, amorphous/ cryptocrystalline calcium phosphate)): mainly in cortical bone -HAP to clear calcite in periosteum -Clear calcite crystals in osteon canals, primary pores in cancellous tissue -Precipitation of authigenic Ca phosphate (HAP?) in fissures -Fe, Mn oxide impregnation	-Recrystallization of HAP (on bacterially bioeroded bone? <1µm pores?); microbially mediated? -HAP dissolution=> calcite deposition from percolating pore water -Calcite deposition from percolating pore water. Microbially mediated? -Fluctuating pH/pore water chemistry -Wetting/drying cycles; bacterially mediated chelation
Physical deformation -Transverse fissuring (across lamellar grain) -Radial fissuring, radiating from haversian canals	-From sediment overload, or during sampling or processing -Wetting/drying cycles
Cortical bone – Homo sapiens (juvenile) -Occurrence: 0.2-2cm, angular fragments, some with adhering sediment (mainly MF-carb). The most frequent type of bone fragments -Histology: cortical tissue; lamellar bone with haversian and Volkmann canals. -Colour: chalky white, often with distinct beige periosteal rim (incident light); clear to dark grey (PPL); 1 st order grey, 1 st order orange brown, cloudy greenish, limpid (XPL). -GHI: 1-3 (0-1 in two fragments)	
Bioerosion -MFD (mainly non-Wedl). Extend hard to assess due to pervasive clouding -Enlarged osteocyte lacunae; enlarged canaliculi (d: ca. 1-1.2 μm): very frequent near the bone surface. Agent unknown; probably fungal? -Coalescent budded MFD -Superficial pitting: insects?	-Bacterial bioerosion did take place. Extend unknown – perhaps variable across bones -Action of soil fungi (+bacteria)? Timing unknown timing; perhaps diachronous during putrefaction/skeletonisation? -Bacterial bioerosion -Post-skeletonisation
Mineral/textural alteration -Extensive clouding (Ca-enriched, amorphous/cryptocrystalline calcium phosphate): mainly in the bone interior, following lamellar bone structure -Clear calcite in dissolution pores -HAP dissolution (mainly along lamellate grain) -Precipitation of authigenic Ca phosphate -Fe, Mn oxide impregnation (diffuse domains, spots, dendrites) -Calcite speleothem encrustation on fracture (one fragment) Physical alteration	 -Recrystallization of HAP (on bacterially bioeroded bone? <1µm pores?); microbially mediated? -Calcite deposition from percolating pore water. Microbially mediated? -Fluctuating pH/pore water chemistry -Fluctuating pH/pore water chemistry -Wetting/drying cycles; bacterially mediated chelation -Speleothem deposition post-fracturing => exposure on the cave floor, or shallow underground?
-Longitudinal fissuring (parallel to lamellar grain) -Transverse fracturing. Fractures encrusted with calcite speleothem (one fragment)	-Desiccation in shallow underground? -Unknown. Surface exposure or shallow underground?

HAP: hydroxyapatite; MFD: microscopical focal destruction.

nature research

Corresponding author(s):

Martinón-Torres, M.; Petraglia, M. D., Boivin, Nicole

Last updated by author(s): May 15, 2020

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

Fora	For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are	present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	n/a Confirmed	
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group	condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statement on whether measurements were taken f	rom distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one Only common tests should be described solely by name; desc	- or two-sided ribe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested	
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such	as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	$\square \bowtie A full description of the statistical parameters including AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated and the statistical parameters including a statistical parameters incl$	g central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>	r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of p	iors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification o	the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>),	indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistic</u>	for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code				
Data collection	No software was used for data collection			
Data analysis	Dating: OxCal 4.3.2; DNA analysis: MiSeq platform (Illumina), leeHom, BLAST (BlastN), MEGAN, Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA); Virtual reconstruction: Mimics 18.0 (Materialise, Be) and Avizo 7.0 (Visualization Sciences Group, 608 2012); MeshLab; 3ds Max 2020 (Autodesk); Thin section morphology: analySIS pro5 image analysis software; Dental analysis: Amira (6.3.0, FEI Inc.); ImageJ (1.51, NIH); Sediment analysis: R package; DIFFRAC.SUITE™ EVA software package (Bruker AXS GmbH, Germany);			

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All data generated during this study are included in this published article and Supplementary Information and/or are available from the corresponding author/s on reasonble request.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences Life sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Anatomical and taphonomic study of an immature hominin fossil and geochemical, granulometric and micromorphological analyses of the burial pit content
Research sample	The manuscript reports on the recovery of a partial juvenile human skeleton, approximately 3-3.5 years of age.
Sampling strategy	The skeletal remains were carefully preserved and conserved and now curated in the National Museums of Kenya, in Nairobi. Samples were retrieved mostly from surrounding sediment. No invasive studies were performed on the intact skeletal remains.
Data collection	Skeletal, geochemical, granulometric, micromorphological, studies were performed on the burial pit. All procedures for sampling are thoroughly provided in the Manuscript, the Methods and the Supplementary Information.
Timing and spatial scale	Not applicable since this is an archaeological and human palaeontological study.
Data exclusions	No significant data have been excluded from this manuscript.
Reproducibility	This does not apply to this study since no experiment was conducted.
Randomization	This does not apply to this study since no experiment was conducted.
Blinding	This does not apply to this study since no experiment was conducted.
Did the study involve field	dwork? XYes No

Field work, collection and transport

Field conditions	The archaeological site is in the coastal uplands of Kenya. The tropical ecotonal environment is described in the manuscript and in supplementary sections of the manuscript.
Location	The cave is in the Dzitsoni limestone hills that run parallel to the Kenyan coast, approximately halfway between Mombasa and Malindi (the Nyali Coast of eastern Africa).
Access & import/export	All samples and field work was permitted by the National Museums of Kenya. In addition, the Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History and the National Museums of Kenya have a signed Memorandum of Understanding.
Disturbance	None.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Methods

 \boxtimes

 \times

 \mathbf{X}

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

MRI-based neuroimaging

Involved in the study

Flow cytometry

ChIP-seq

Materials & experimental systems Involved in the study n/a

n/a Eukaryotic cell lines

- Palaeontology and archaeology \boxtimes Animals and other organisms \boxtimes Human research participants
- \boxtimes Clinical data

Antibodies

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

Dual use research of concern \boxtimes

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance	Samples are all curated at National Museums of Kenya, in Nairobi, Kenya.		
Specimen deposition	National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya		
Dating methods	Dates were reported in previous publications and the appropriate literature was cited. One single additional luminescence age is reported and all information is supplied in the Methods and Supplementary Information sections of the study.		
X Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.			

Ethics oversight All work was permitted and overseen by the National Museums of Kenya.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.